

# Commentary on candidate evidence

## Candidate 8

### Work

The candidate was awarded **8 marks**.

In some ways this a competent answer and has a fairly positive impact on the reader. Although the writer has tried to be adventurous with the language in this response, the errors throughout in spelling, punctuation and grammar mean it is a borderline pass only. Overall a high level of accuracy is expected for Higher ESOL.

### Content and organisation

This is written by a risk taker who clearly shows that they are familiar with social media. It achieves the task with clear support for most points made.

### Vocabulary and spelling

The use of vocabulary is adventurous, but there are errors with collocation, for example, (*grow a good name*).

There are also errors with spelling, for example, (*lanch*) but these do not interfere with intelligibility.

### Grammar and punctuation

There are frequent, sometimes basic grammar errors (*a new products, a staff, amounts of customers*) and at times the meaning is lost, for example, the final sentence

There is a lack of punctuation throughout, and this can cause difficulty for the reader.