

Commentary on candidate evidence

Candidate 5

Everyday Life (Part 1)

The candidate was awarded **10 marks**.

The candidate fully achieves the task although there could be some further support for the points made. Grammar and vocabulary are of an appropriate standard and spelling is mostly accurate. The writing is satisfactory but limited, which holds it back from a higher mark.

Content and organisation

The candidate focuses on a tourist attraction and comments on each bullet point in the rubric. The points could be further developed and the writing is fairly simple throughout. The message is easy to follow, however, the style of an informal review is not always apparent, and the opening paragraph reads more like an essay task than a review.

Vocabulary and spelling

The range of vocabulary is acceptable but limited. To achieve a higher mark more variety in use of vocabulary and expressions would be needed.

Spelling is acceptable.

Grammar and punctuation

There is a satisfactory range of grammatical structures used with an acceptable level of accuracy although this starts to decrease by the final paragraph where more errors creep in, for example, (*I would recommend that check the times...., I recommend to see...*) It would be nice to see the candidate trying out more complex structures.

Punctuation is mostly accurate.