

Question 2d

The candidate was awarded **2 marks** because they correctly explained how each of the faults could have been avoided.

Question 3a

The candidate was awarded **1 mark** for advantage one because they correctly explained that the cake can be cut into pieces of any size and would be suitable for any event. Advantage two is a statement, not an explanation, so was not awarded a mark.

Question 3b

The candidate was awarded **3 marks** because they made three valid evaluative comments linked to the use of butter in a lemon drizzle cake.

The first comment correctly stated a fact ('adds a rich flavour'), made a judgement ('is good') with the consequence that the tray bake will be tastier. The second comment correctly states a fact ('butter improves how long the tray bake will last'), made a judgement ('is good') with the consequence that it can be eaten longer after the time of baking. The third comment also correctly stated a fact ('butter adds fat'), made a judgement ('is bad') with the consequence that it makes the tray bake even more unhealthy.

Question 3c

The candidate was awarded **1 mark** because they correctly explained that egg can trap air which makes the tray bake lighter. The response linked to flour is a statement, with no explanation of how it adds structure and stability, and was therefore not awarded a mark.