

Commentary on Candidate 4

The evidence for this candidate has achieved the following marks for this Course Assessment component.

Section 1: Catullus

The candidate was awarded 11 marks because:

- 1a. Correct. Their love affair is implied in the answer
- 1b. No understanding of specific meaning of *lux* and *nox* in this context
- 1c. One valid point has been made. No mark has been awarded for the Latin quote, as it doesn't answer the question "Why...?"
- 2a. All correct
- 2b. Second mark awarded for the development of the first point
- 3a. Correct
- 3b. Two valid points out of a possible three to do with tradition
- 4. All correct

Section 2: Ovid

The candidate was awarded 12 marks because:

- 1a. Correct
- 1b. All correct, 2 valid reasons
- 2a. Correct
- 2b. Two good examples with extended response. 3 marks not awarded because the question asks for 3 examples.
- 3a. Correct
- 3b. Only 1 feeling identified (fascinated by the stars is wrong)
- 4. Well answered. To get each mark, the contrast between Talus and Icarus must be given.
- 5. Not enough for a third mark.

Total mark 23/30

Commentary on Candidate 5

The evidence for this candidate has achieved the following marks for this Course Assessment component.

Section 1: Catullus

The candidate was awarded 13 marks because:

- 1a. All correct
- 1b. All correct
- 1c. One mark awarded because the second comment doesn't answer the question "Why...?"
- 2a. All correct
- 2b. Mark deducted in block review because "Lesbia then tells him not to trust her" is incorrect, and misunderstands whole poem.
- 3a. Correct
- 3b. Answer goes well beyond the 3 marks available
- 4. Answer goes well beyond the 2 marks available.

Section 5 – Cicero

The candidate was awarded 15 marks because

- 1a. Correct
- 1bi. Goes beyond the 2 marks available
- 1bii. Correct
- 2a. Correct
- 2b. Correct. The second part is wrong, but doesn't detract from previous correct answer.
- 3. Candidate has one valid point plus extension for 2 marks.
- 4a. Goes beyond the 2 marks available
- 4b. Far too long a response for a 1 mark question.
- 5. An excellent response

Total Mark 28/30

Commentary on Candidate 6

The evidence for this candidate has achieved the following marks for this Course Assessment component.

Section 3: Virgil

The candidate was awarded 15 marks because:

- 1a. Correct
- 1b. Correct
- 2a. Two marks. The direct translation is accurate, although not required
- 2b. There are 2 correct points, although one wrong piece of information.
- 3. All correct, any sensible comment on effect is acceptable if it shows knowledge of the story.
- 4. Correct
- 5. Very good answer, a mixture of examples from the text, plus wider knowledge. Very good.

Section 4: Pliny

The candidate was awarded 14 marks because:

- 1a. Correct
- 1b. Answer goes beyond the 3 marks available
- 2. All correct
- 3. Two marks out of 3. The second point wasn't clear enough to achieve a mark.
- 4. A very high quality answer.
- 5a. An extensive answer for 1 mark
- 5b. Mark awarded for the word "inviting".

Total mark 29/30