

Commentary on candidate 7 evidence

Total mark – 35/40

Task 1		Commentary	Mark awarded	Max Mark
1	a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 1 mark awarded as the base is correct. ◆ 1 mark awarded as the case is correct. ◆ 1 mark awarded as the top is correct. ◆ 1 mark awarded as the hanging rod is correct. ◆ 1 mark awarded as the sectional views for the base, case and top are shown. ◆ 1 mark awarded as enough dimensioning is shown. 	6	6
	b	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 1 mark awarded for accurate assembly. ◆ 1 mark awarded as all views related. ◆ 1 mark awarded as Sectional Elevation is shown. 	3	3
	c	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 1 mark awarded as the Isometric view is shown. Hidden detail shown is neither considered nor penalised. ◆ 0 marks awarded for overlapping components – The top overlaps the case and the case overlaps the base. 	1	2
	d	Sufficient range of evidence correct across all drawings.	3	3

Question		Commentary	Mark awarded	Max Mark
2	a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 1 mark awarded for obvious use of plastics in the render. ◆ 1 mark awarded for the use of appropriate lighting, highlights and shadows. ◆ 1 mark awarded for pictorial view and quality. 	3	3
	b	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 1 mark awarded as thumbnail 1 contains product name, extended text and image. ◆ 1 mark awarded as thumbnail 2 contains product name, extended text and image. ◆ 1 mark awarded as the dominance is correctly identified in both. ◆ 1 mark awarded for the clarity of the layout/thumbnails. Both layouts/thumbnails clearly show the intended planning for the final version. 	4	4
	c	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 1 mark awarded as the size is correct. ◆ 2 marks awarded for good quality visual impact. ◆ 2 marks awarded as two design elements/principles, other than dominance, have been correctly identified. ◆ 2 marks awarded as two of these design elements/principles have been suitably justified. 	7	7

Question			Commentary	Mark awarded	Max Mark
3	a		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 0 marks awarded as all components are produced incorrectly. The roof is incorrect. ◆ 0 marks awarded as the sketches are not shown in good proportion. ◆ 1 mark awarded as all projection is correct with clear differences in line types. ◆ 1 mark awarded as enough detail to 3D model the components is included. 	2	4
	b		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 1 mark awarded as the Elevation is correct. ◆ 0 marks awarded as the Plan is incorrect. There should not be double lines at the left and right hand sides shown. ◆ 1 mark awarded as the End Elevation is correct. ◆ 1 mark awarded as the sketches produced are in good proportion. 	3	4
	c		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 2 marks awarded as the candidate produced a good sketch. ◆ 1 mark awarded as the render is fair. 	3	4