

Commentary on candidate 3 evidence

Total mark – 14/40

Task 1		Commentary	Mark awarded	Max Mark
1	a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 1 mark awarded as the base is correct. Not many dimensions shown but benefit of doubt goes to the candidate. ◆ 0 marks awarded as the case is incorrect. ◆ 0 marks awarded as the top is incorrect. ◆ 1 mark awarded for the hanging rod being correct. ◆ 0 marks awarded as no sectional views for the base, case and top are shown. ◆ 0 marks awarded as not enough dimensioning is shown. 	2	6
	b	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 1 mark awarded for accurate assembly. This is not obvious however, benefit of the doubt is given to the candidate based on the evidence given. ◆ 1 mark awarded as all views related. ◆ 0 marks awarded as Sectional Elevation is not shown. 	2	3
	c	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 0 marks awarded for incorrect orientation. The view is not Isometric. ◆ 0 marks awarded for incorrect spacing. Not all parts are exploded. 	0	2
	d	<p>Sufficient range with many inconsistencies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ No centre lines shown. ◆ Many inaccuracies in the dimensioning. ◆ Suitable scale not used. 	1	3

Question			Commentary	Mark awarded	Max Mark
2	a		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 1 mark awarded for obvious use of plastics in the render. ◆ 1 mark awarded for the use of appropriate lighting, highlights and shadows. ◆ 1 mark awarded for the pictorial view and quality. 	3	3
	b		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 1 mark awarded as thumbnail 1 contains product name, extended text and image. ◆ 1 mark awarded as thumbnail 2 contains product name, extended text and image. ◆ 0 marks awarded as the dominance is not correctly identified. ◆ 1 mark awarded for clarity of the layout/thumbnails. Both layouts/thumbnails clearly show the intended planning for the final version. 	3	4
	c		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 0 marks awarded for correct size – long side/short side = ratio of between 1.39-1.45. This size produced by the candidate falls out with the ratio. ◆ 0 marks awarded for poor quality visual impact. ◆ 1 mark awarded as the unity is correctly identified. ◆ 0 marks awarded as suitable justification for unity is not given. Simply stating 'same colour' is not enough to attract marks. The candidate would have to describe why using the design element/principle enhances their layout. 	1	7

Question			Commentary	Mark awarded	Max Mark
3	a		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 0 marks awarded as the roof is incorrectly sketched. ◆ 0 marks awarded as the sketches are not in good proportion. ◆ 0 marks awarded as the projection is not correct across all three parts. The chamfer is not shown on the Elevation of the roof. ◆ 1 mark awarded as sufficient dimensions to 3D CAD model the parts are given. 	1	4
	b		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 0 marks awarded as the Elevation is incorrect. Roof not shown with a chamfer. Cannot use follow on rule here as the candidate has shown the roof with a chamfer at 3(a). ◆ 0 marks awarded as the End Elevation is incorrect. Middle line of the roof not shown projected across. ◆ 0 marks awarded as the Plan incorrect, the projection is poor and the middle line is not shown. ◆ 0 marks awarded as the sketch does not use good proportions. 	0	4
	c		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 1 mark awarded as the candidate produced a fair quality sketch. ◆ 0 marks awarded for poor render. 	1	4