

# Commentary on candidate 2 evidence

Total mark – 17/40

Task 1		Commentary	Mark awarded	Max Mark
1	a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as the base is incorrect. Seed hole is the wrong size.</li> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as the case incorrect. Seed hole is the wrong size.</li> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as the top is incorrect.</li> <li>◆ <b>1 mark</b> awarded as the hanging rod is correct.</li> <li>◆ <b>1 mark</b> awarded as the sectional views are shown.</li> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as there is not enough dimensioning shown.</li> </ul>	2	6
	b	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <b>1 mark</b> awarded for the accurate assembly.</li> <li>◆ <b>1 mark</b> awarded as all views related.</li> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as the Sectional Elevation is not shown.</li> </ul>	2	3
	c	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as the candidate has provided an incorrect format. The view is shaded without the use of outlines.</li> <li>◆ <b>1 mark</b> awarded for correct spacing and alignment.</li> </ul>	1	2
	d	<p>Sufficient range with many inconsistencies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Few centre lines shown.</li> <li>◆ Cutting planes drawn inside the views.</li> <li>◆ Sectional views shown on the wrong side of the cutting plane indicated on the drawings.</li> <li>◆ Suitable scale not used.</li> </ul>	1	3

Question			Commentary	Mark awarded	Max Mark
2	a		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded for use of wood in the render instead of plastics.</li> <li>◆ <b>1 mark</b> awarded for appropriate lighting, highlights and shadows.</li> <li>◆ <b>1 mark</b> awarded for pictorial view and quality.</li> </ul>	2	3
	b		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as thumbnail 1 does not contain a product name or extended text.</li> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as thumbnail 2 does not contain a product name or extended text.</li> <li>◆ <b>1 mark</b> awarded for the dominance being correctly identified.</li> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as the layout/thumbnails do not clearly indicate the Plan for the final layout.</li> </ul>	1	4
	c		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <b>1 mark</b> awarded for the correct size.</li> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded for poor quality in visual impact.</li> <li>◆ <b>1 mark</b> awarded as unity is correctly identified.</li> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as suitable justification for unity is not given. Simply stating 'matching colour' is not enough to attract marks. The candidate would have to describe how using the design element/principle enhances their layout.</li> </ul>	2	7

Question		Commentary	Mark awarded	Max Mark
3	a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as the roof is incorrectly sketched.</li> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as the sketches are not in good proportion. The thickness of the roof is not proportional to the length and breadth of it.</li> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as the projection is not correct across all three parts. For the roof the sketched Plan is not projected correctly from the Elevation.</li> <li>◆ <b>1 mark</b> awarded as sufficient dimensions to 3D CAD model the parts are given.</li> </ul>	1	4
	b	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as the Elevation is incorrect. The curve of the opening does not line up with the height of the sides of the front part.</li> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as the End Elevation is incorrect. The roof does not overlap the width of the base.</li> <li>◆ <b>1 mark</b> awarded as the follow on rule is applied. The Plan is correct as projected from the sketched Elevation.</li> <li>◆ <b>0 marks</b> awarded as the sketch does not use good proportions. The height of the bird table is smaller than the width of it however, this sketch does not show this.</li> </ul>	1	4
	c	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <b>2 marks</b> awarded as the candidate has produced a good quality sketch.</li> <li>◆ <b>2 marks</b> awarded for good quality render.</li> </ul>	4	4