

Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks.

Candidate 7

Writing: Study

Identifier: 'Many people argue that...'

The candidate was awarded **11 marks** for a solid answer overall. The ideas and support for ideas are good, as is the structure and style, but the vocabulary and grammar are basic for National 5 level.

The candidate fully achieves the task with clear support for each point made. Writing is coherent and cohesive with positive impact on the reader (11-12). Style and layout are wholly appropriate and there is clear evidence of structure and paragraphing (13-15).

The candidate uses a reasonably wide range of mainly accurate vocabulary but could demonstrate more in this aspect: 'safety threats, physical fight, harmed, incidents' (9-10) There are also a few minor spelling errors.

There is reasonably wide range of grammatical structures used. There is some repetition of 'may be and should be' (9-10). Punctuation is mostly accurate (11-12).