

Candidate commentary

Candidate 5 – Study

The candidate was awarded **5 marks** because it is insufficiently related to the question.

The task is not achieved because it does not focus sufficiently on the impact of technology, focusing instead on how to prepare for exams.

Writing is generally coherent and cohesive. The style is too informal for the intended reader and the layout is inappropriate for the task. There is evidence of structure/paragraphing although paragraph 1 is too long.

Range of vocabulary/idiom is adequate and there are a few spelling errors, for example 'aloud' for 'allowed'.

There is a reasonably wide range of grammar with a variety of subordination and some errors. There are also some errors in punctuation, such as missing apostrophes.