

The candidate was given a mark of 8 because while there is enough in terms of task achievement and accuracy to pass, there are limitations to both accuracy and development of ideas.

Writing is generally coherent and cohesive and task is achieved but the first paragraph simply re-states the task and then defines what a conclusion is. Layout is not appropriate for the intended reader as it has been written as a report rather than a discursive essay. Style is also inappropriate, as there are contractions and informal words such as 'bothered'.

There is an adequate range of vocabulary ('what so ever' (sic); 'stress(ful)'; 'distracted'; 'bothered' (but see above); 'company'; 'sum') There are spelling errors ('enviroment'; 'know for now' – which impedes communication.

There is an adequate range of grammar (subordination with 'if' and 'as'; 'that' and 'due to the fact that' clauses; participle clauses with 'while; gerunds "making dinner' and 'being alone'). However, range is limited in the final paragraph ('and . . . and . . . and').

Punctuation is sometimes inaccurate, with contractions such as 'wont' and 'don't' missing apostrophes.