

The candidate was given a mark of 12 because the mostly well-developed points make a positive impact on the reader although it lacks an optimum range of vocabulary or grammar.

It fully achieves the task with well-developed support for each point made. Writing is coherent and cohesive with a positive impact on the reader. Layout is wholly appropriate for intended reader although style is occasionally informal ('a bit less').

A wide range of vocabulary/idiom is used ('as follows'; 'the interviewed workers'; 'serve products'; 'foreigners'; 'majority'; 'declared'; 'poor' meaning bad; 'recipes', 'live music', 'going ahead with the idea') though on occasion vocabulary is more basic ('really good at ...') and there is slight over-dependence on the language of the task instructions. There are a couple of mistakes: 'cooker' for 'cook' and 'According to it' for 'Because of this'. Spelling is accurate.

A reasonably wide range of grammar is used (variety of subordination with 'that', 'because' and 'as', substitution with 'do so'; comparative 'tastier', gerund with 'good at', 'recommend' and 'the idea of'. There are a few grammatical mistakes: 'as' for such as – although this appears to be a slip as the phrase is used correctly later on; 'they are used to eat'; 'consider...being'; 'tastier than are now', 'it will worth the effort'). Punctuation is accurate.