

Findings, analysis and interpretation commentary 1

TOPIC – DOES IMMIGRATION BENEFIT THE UK ECONOMY?

The candidate was awarded **5/12 marks** because ...

The candidate has used the recommended style of 'source, finding, analysis' in the FAI section. The name of the source is given in the body of the text and is listed as a URL in the Appendix.

In this extract, the candidate has given one finding (1 mark) followed by 3 analysis points coming from it (3 marks).

The candidate has gained 1 mark for a definition of GDP as a theory point.

(The candidate has used the correct section heading. If all section headings were correct the candidate gained one of the available 'Structure' marks.)

Findings, analysis and interpretation commentary 2

TOPIC – DOES THE UK BENEFIT FROM MEMBERSHIP OF THE EU?

The candidate was awarded **5/12 marks** because ...

The candidate has given a series of findings, but has not sourced them and therefore cannot be awarded marks for findings. It is not obvious from the URLs given in the Appendix what material has come from any source. Analysis from unsourced findings can be awarded a maximum of 4 marks in the FAI section. The candidate gained 4 marks for this. The only sourced finding that is given is in the final paragraph and gained 1 mark.

(The candidate has used the correct section heading. If all section headings were correct the candidate gained one of the available 'Structure' marks.)

Findings, analysis and interpretation commentary 3

TOPIC – SHOULD THE SUGAR TAX IN THE UK BE INTRODUCED?

The candidate was awarded **5/12 marks** because ...

The candidate has used the recommended style of 'source, finding, analysis' in the FAI section. The source is numbered in the body of the text and is listed as a URL in the Appendix.

In this extract, the candidate has given one finding (1 mark) followed by 3 analysis points coming from it (3 marks).

The candidate has gained 1 mark for a definition of productivity as a theory point.

(The candidate has used the correct section heading. If all section headings were correct the candidate gained one of the available 'Structure' marks.)

Findings, analysis and interpretation commentary 4

TOPIC – WHAT IMPACT, MIGHT THE SUGAR TAX HAVE ON THE MARKET FOR SUGARY DRINKS?

The candidate was awarded **1/12 marks** because ...

The candidate gained 1 theory mark for an appropriate graph of the effect of a tax on demand and supply. The accompanying text was inaccurate, so did not attract any further theory marks.

The candidate has given a finding from Cancer Research, but not listed Cancer Research as a source, therefore no mark was awarded for the finding. The analysis coming from the (non-valid) Cancer Research finding does not gain a mark as the analysis is just a repeat of the finding in different words ('tax could prevent 3.7 million cases of obesity' as the finding, followed by 'the amount of obese people will decrease' as the analysis).

The candidate has given findings from 2 separate NHS articles. However the URL listed in the Appendix does not give information about either finding and therefore no marks were awarded for findings.

The candidate has used a short version of the section heading.

Findings, analysis and interpretation commentary 5

TOPIC – DO THE POSITIVE EFFECTS OF MULTINATIONALS ON A HOSE COUNTRY OUTWEIGH THE NEGATIVES?

The candidate was awarded **7/12 marks** because ...

The candidate has used the recommended style of 'source, finding, analysis' in the FAI section. The source is given as a footnote in the body of the text, with the URL appearing at the foot of the page and again as an appendix.

In the appendix the candidate has given the sources in tabular form with additional information about when the source was accessed.

In this extract, the candidate has given 2 findings, both on employment (2 marks), followed by 5 analysis points coming from them (3 marks maximum).

The candidate has gained 2 theory marks for definitions of unemployment and disposable income.

(The candidate has used the correct section heading. If all section headings were correct the candidate gained one of the available 'Structure' marks.)

Findings, analysis and interpretation commentary 6

TOPIC – WHAT ARE THE EFFECTS OF AN AGEING POPULATION ON THE UK ECONOMY?

The candidate was awarded **5/12 marks** because ...

The candidate uses a mixture of styles. The source of the first finding taken from the pie chart is listed in the Appendix (1 mark). The source of the second finding is given as a footnote in the body of the text, with the URL appearing at the foot of the page and again as an appendix.

In the appendix each source is given a heading followed by a URL.

In this extract the candidate has made an interpretation point (1 mark) for performing a calculation based on figures gained from both findings. As well as making 2 analysis points (2 marks).

Findings, analysis and interpretation commentary 7

TOPIC – WHAT WILL BE THE EFFECT OF A SUGAR TAX ON ECONOMIC AGENTS IN THE UK?

The candidate was awarded **3/12 marks** because ...

The candidate has used the recommended style of 'source, finding, analysis' in the FAI section. The name of the source is given in the body of the text and is listed as a URL in the Appendix.

In this extract, the candidate has given one finding (1 mark) followed by one analysis point coming from it (1 mark).

Given the writing style in the rest of the FAI section, it is assumed that the second finding is from the same source as the first finding (1 mark).

The candidate has then given a recommendation in the FAI section, which does not attract a mark.