

# Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for each question of this question paper.

## Candidate 1

Overall, this candidate scored **83/130 marks** for this question paper.

### Question 1 (a)

The candidate was awarded **12/20 marks**.

- ◆ This year's depreciation has not been included.
- ◆ Incorrect nomenclature has been used for Closing Inventory.
- ◆ The Provision for Bad Debts is detached from Trade Receivables.
- ◆ Other Payables is incorrect as per marking instructions.
- ◆ Loan is the wrong effect (added instead of subtracted).
- ◆ Drawings is the wrong effect (added instead of subtracted).
- ◆ Arithmetic mark has not been awarded as candidate has treated final totals as a running balance.
- ◆ Layout mark has not been awarded as there is no heading for Non-Current Liabilities.

### Question 1 (b)

The candidate was awarded **6/10 marks**.

- ◆ Errors 2, 3 and 4 were treated incorrectly.
- ◆ Error 5 – amount was incorrect.

### Question 1 (c)

The candidate was awarded **1/1 mark** as new profit was consequentially correct.

### Question 1 (d)

The candidate was awarded **1/2 marks** as Income Statements and Statements of Financial Position were treated as 'Preparing Final Accounts' as per marking instructions.

### Question 1 (e)

The candidate was awarded **2/2 marks**.

**Question 2 (a) (i)**

The candidate was awarded **2/3 marks** as overheads were missing.

**Question 2 (a) (ii)**

The candidate was awarded **2/2 marks** as response was consequentially correct.

**Question 2 (a) (iii)**

The candidate was awarded **1/1 mark**.

**Question 2 (a) (iv)**

The candidate was awarded **2/3 marks** as the break-even point in units was consequentially correct, but the sales value was missing (accept rounding down as per marking instructions).

**Question 2 (a) (v)**

The candidate was awarded **2/3 marks** as the margin of safety in units was consequentially correct, but the sales value was incorrect (accept rounding up as per marking instructions).

**Question 2 (a) (vi)**

The candidate was awarded **2/3 marks** as they had used the margin of safety instead of the break-even point. The remainder of the response was consequentially correct.

**Question 2 (a) (vii)**

The candidate was awarded **1/3 marks** as they had subtracted instead of adding (2 marks all or nothing). The remainder of the response was consequentially correct.

**Question 2 (b) (i)**

The candidate was awarded **5/6 marks**. The marking instructions state that 2 marks are awarded for variable costs of £68. Although no working was shown, it was possible to work out the candidate's calculation. The candidate did not gain an award for overheads as they had just used £8 instead of £32.

**Question 2 (b) (ii)**

The candidate was awarded **2/3 marks** as fixed costs were incorrect but the remainder of the response was consequentially correct (accept rounding down as per marking instructions).

**Question 2 (b) (iii)**

The candidate was awarded **3/3 marks** as response was consequentially correct.

**Question 2 (c) (i)**

The candidate was awarded **1/1 mark**.

### Question 2 (c) (ii)

The candidate was awarded **2/4 marks**. The response of 'large amount available to get' was not awarded a mark as a grant is not necessarily a large amount. The response of 'can only get one' was not awarded a mark as this is not necessarily correct.

### Question 3

The candidate was awarded **10/15 marks**.

- ◆ Wages and Salaries on incorrect side.
- ◆ Trade Receivables and Trade Payables on incorrect sides.
- ◆ Overdraft on incorrect side.
- ◆ Provision for Bad Debts on incorrect side.
- ◆ No award for totals (the Dr column has been incorrectly added).

### Question 4 (a)

The candidate was awarded **3/8 marks**.

- ◆ Overtime 1 was incorrect.
- ◆ Bonus (worth 3 marks) had not been attempted.
- ◆ There was no total wage due.

### Question 4 (b)

The candidate was awarded **5/7 marks**.

- ◆ Labour was a different amount to (a).
- ◆ Overheads used incorrect hours.

### Question 5 (a)

The candidate was awarded **8/11 marks**.

- ◆ There was no subtotal of 2 inventories in the Balance column. As per marking instructions, do not award 1 mark and treat as consequential thereafter.
- ◆ The balance on 20 June was incorrect.
- ◆ The return on 21 June had been treated as a receipt. However, subsequent entries were all consequentially correct.

### Question 5 (b)

The candidate was awarded **1/2 marks** as money coming in at the end of the month is not an advantage.

### Question 5 (c)

The candidate was awarded **0 marks** as the answer is incorrect.

### Question 5 (d)

The candidate was awarded **0 marks** as they have confused Carriage In with Carriage Out.

### Question 6

The candidate was awarded **9/15 marks**.

- ♦ **5 Oct – 2 marks.** Starting with Purchases Account as per marking instructions, the candidate has incorrectly entered this as a Credit. However, VAT Account entry is awarded a mark consequentially for being on the same side. Champ Sports Account entry also gets a consequential mark as it obeys double entry rules (despite being on wrong side).
- ♦ **10 Oct – 2 marks.** Starting with Equipment Account as per marking instructions, the candidate has incorrectly entered this into the Bank (Cash & Cash Equivalents) Account so does not gain the mark. VAT Account entry is correct and Office World Account entry is correct.
- ♦ **18 Oct – 1 mark.** Starting with Drawings Account as per marking instructions, the entry is correct. The corresponding Bank (Cash & Cash Equivalents) Account entry is incorrect as it should have been a credit.
- ♦ **25 Oct – 4 marks.** Starting with Bank (Cash & Cash Equivalents) Account as per marking instructions, the credit entry of £1,080 is correct. The corresponding debit entry in Champ Sports Account is also correct.

The candidate has cleared the remaining balance in Champ Sports Account to zero by entering a credit amount which is consequentially correct. Having done this, the candidate has obeyed double entry rules by putting the corresponding entry as a debit in the Discount Account, which is consequentially correct.

The candidate has not attempted the VAT refund which is worth 3 marks.

## Candidate 2

Overall, this candidate was awarded **81/130 marks** for this question paper.

### Question 1 (a)

The candidate was awarded **8/20 marks**.

- ◆ The candidate has not included Furniture and Fittings. Therefore, do not award 2 marks for missing depreciation figures.
- ◆ The candidate has deducted Bad Debts figure from Trade Receivables instead of the provision (note – this is not extraneous, just incorrect).
- ◆ Other Receivables is missing.
- ◆ Other Payables is in the wrong section.
- ◆ Inventory appears twice – therefore do not award 1 mark as it is subject to the plus/minus rule.
- ◆ Discount Received is extraneous.
- ◆ Trade Payables is missing.
- ◆ Loan has been included as a Current Liability instead of a Non-Current Liability.
- ◆ Profit for the Year is missing.
- ◆ Arithmetic mark has not been awarded as Working Equity has been deducted instead of added.
- ◆ Layout mark has not been awarded as there is no date in the title.

### Question 1 (b)

The candidate was awarded **6/10 marks**.

- ◆ Error 1 was the correct amount but wrong effect.
- ◆ Errors 4 and 6 were treated incorrectly.
- ◆ The total effect calculation was incorrect.

### Question 1 (c)

The candidate was awarded **1/1 mark** as new profit was consequentially correct.

### Question 1 (d)

The candidate was awarded **2/2 marks**.

### Question 1 (e)

The candidate was awarded **2/2 marks**.

### Question 2 (a) (i)

The candidate was awarded **3/3 marks**.

### Question 2 (a) (ii)

The candidate was awarded **1/2 marks** as per marking instructions as there was an arithmetic error.

**Question 2 (a) (iii)**

The candidate was awarded **0 marks**. Although the candidate did have the correct answer, they went on to further adjust it. The marking instructions state the full allocation of marks cannot be awarded if this is done.

**Question 2 (a) (iv)**

The candidate was awarded **2/3 marks**. Although the answer of 4,666.66 was consequentially correct, the marking instructions state that if the label is wrong, then do not award once only throughout the question. In this case, the candidate has labelled with a £ sign instead of units.

**Question 2 (a) (v)**

The candidate was awarded **1/3 marks** as they have added instead of subtracted (2 marks all or nothing). The remainder of the response was consequentially correct.

**Question 2 (a) (vi)**

The candidate was awarded **2/3 marks** as they had missed out the variable cost as per the third option in the marking instructions. The fixed costs were consequential.

**Question 2 (a) (vii)**

The candidate was awarded **1/3 marks** as they had only completed the first line in the second option in the marking instructions.

**Question 2 (b) (i)**

The candidate was awarded **5/6 marks** as overheads were incorrect.

**Question 2 (b) (ii)**

The candidate was awarded **1/3 marks** as although they had left it blank, fixed costs were correctly calculated (consequentially) in (b) (i).

**Question 2 (b) (iii)**

The candidate was awarded **0 marks** as no part of the response was correct.

**Question 2 (c) (i)**

The candidate was awarded **0 mark** as mortgage is a type of borrowing.

**Question 2 (c) (ii)**

The candidate was awarded **2/4 marks**. Both answers were consequentially correct.

### Question 3

The candidate was awarded **11/15 marks**.

- ◆ Overdraft on incorrect side.
- ◆ Provision for Bad Debts on incorrect side.
- ◆ Discount Allowed on incorrect side.
- ◆ Rent Received on incorrect side.

### Question 4 (a)

The candidate was awarded **7/8 marks**.

- ◆ Basic Labour calculation was incorrect.

### Question 4 (b)

The candidate was awarded **5/7 marks**.

- ◆ Labour had been missed out.
- ◆ Sales Price had incorrect label.

### Question 5 (a)

The candidate was awarded **9/11 marks**.

- ◆ There was no subtotal of two inventories in the Balance column. As per marking instructions, do not award 1 mark and treat as consequential thereafter.
- ◆ The balance on 21 June was incorrect. However, the entries for 29 June were consequential.

### Question 5 (b)

The candidate was awarded **1/2 marks** as they had confused Trade Discount with 'on credit'.

### Question 5 (c)

The candidate was awarded **1/1 mark**.

### Question 5 (d)

The candidate was awarded **1/1 mark**.

## Question 6

The candidate was awarded **9/15 marks**.

- ♦ **5 Oct – 2 marks.** Starting with Purchases Account as per marking instructions, the candidate has correctly made the entry but then incorrectly entered it again into a Brian Rankin Account so is not awarded the mark. This is an example of the +/- rule described in the marking instructions. Both the VAT Account entry and Champ Sports Account entry are awarded a mark for being correct.
- ♦ **10 Oct – 1 mark.** Starting with Equipment Account as per marking instructions, the candidate has incorrectly entered this into the Purchases Account so does not gain the mark. The VAT Account entry is incorrectly entered (£100 instead of £110). The Office World Account entry is correct (ignore wrong date as per marking instructions).
- ♦ **18 Oct – 1 mark.** Starting with Drawings Account as per marking instructions, the entry has been put into a 'Personal Use' Account so does not gain the award. The corresponding Bank (Cash & Cash Equivalents) Account entry is correct.
- ♦ **25 Oct – 5 marks.** Starting with Bank (Cash & Cash Equivalents) Account as per marking instructions, the credit entry of £1,080 is correct. The corresponding debit entry in Champ Sports Account is also correct.

In the Champ Sports Account, the candidate has incorrectly calculated the VAT refund so is not awarded the calculation award. They gain the second mark for entering the wrong figure on the correct side. The corresponding entry in the VAT Account is consequentially correct.

The candidate has then cleared the remaining balance in Champ Sports Account to zero by entering a credit amount which is consequentially correct. The corresponding entry in the Discount Account is incorrect as it should have been on the credit side.