

Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for each part of this assignment.

Candidate 1

The candidate was awarded **13 out of 20 marks**.

Standard A

The candidate was awarded **4 marks**. The opening section deals with three points of significance and impact. The candidate also made detailed comments on the impact of the overturning of Roe v Wade in the United States. Taken together this is worth full marks.

Standards B, C and D

The candidate was awarded **5 marks**. The report lacked clear evidence of use of sources, however information was mainly accurate and used to address the question. It lacked breadth in the presentation of views, for example, the part on Judaism dealt well with one key idea, but did not reflect the range of views or arguments within Judaism. There were some good examples of analysis and explanation, particularly the discussion of the justification for the change in US legislation.

Standards E and F

The candidate was awarded **4 marks**. Although the final conclusion did not include much justification, there are other concluding points in the course of the report, for example, at the end of the opening paragraph and in the discussion of the view of Justice Alito.

Candidate 2

The candidate was awarded **14 out of 20 marks**.

Standard A

The candidate was awarded **4 marks**. The significance and impact was skilfully woven into the report. The candidate makes a point of commenting on impact of both the question and possible responses to it.

Standards B, C and D

The candidate was awarded **6 marks**. Although there are several quotations from scripture which could all be from the same source, the candidate has applied

them well to explain the views they have researched. There is some detailed, accurate information on views, but they could have included more breadth in the response, for example, by looking at why the views might not be worth believing.

Standards E and F

The candidate was awarded **4 marks**. The conclusion was justified but lacked detail. There were some concluding points as part of their comments on the views presented.

Candidate 3

The candidate was awarded **13 out of 20 marks**.

Standard A

The candidate was awarded **4 marks**. There are points of significance and impact throughout the report, so this part achieves full marks.

Standards B, C and D

The candidate was awarded **5 marks**. Although there is relevant information, and this is presented in some detail, the candidate has not made it clear where they got their information from. The Islamic view is presented throughout the report, and there is good analysis and explanation of key aspects of the issue.

Standards E and F

The candidate was awarded **4 marks**. There are a number of concluding points, including at the end of the report, but some of these over-generalise, so the conclusion is not fully justified.