

# Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for each question of the question paper.

## Candidate 3

### Question 22(a)

The candidate was awarded **5 marks**.

The first paragraph identifies exploitation of women in the media for male gaze, and this is further developed in the rest of the paragraph so **2 marks** were awarded.

The second paragraph identifies women being paid less for doing the same job as a man. The candidate then goes on to explain women being undermined and gives an example of the BBC paying women less than men. **3 marks** were awarded as the point is well developed.

### Question 22(b)

The candidate was awarded **3 marks**.

The first paragraph identifies the idea that women should submit to their husbands and then explains that this means that some men 'can do whatever they want'. This was awarded **1 mark**.

The second paragraph talks about all being one in Christ Jesus and then applies this to the idea of being against slavery. **2 marks** were awarded for a developed point.

## Question 23

The candidate was awarded **4 marks**.

The candidate explains that gender roles can cause mental health issues – **1 mark** awarded. The example was not awarded any marks as it is not specific to gender roles.

In the second paragraph, the candidate talks about women staying at home and having kids while their husbands work. It then points out that this creates several stereotypes. Marriage is only between a man and a woman which is harmful to three groups: LGBTQ +; women who do not want children but would rather have a career and stay at home dads. The whole paragraph is a developed point worth **3 marks**.

## Question 24(a)

The candidate was awarded **3 marks**.

The candidate explains family pressure to get married and have offspring, so the family name lives on – **2 marks** were awarded. The second paragraph describes a toxic abusive home life as a reason to leave and get married. This was awarded **1 mark**.

## Question 24(b)

The candidate was awarded **8 marks**.

The first paragraph is about an abusive relationship leading to mental ill health and it being unstable for children. It was awarded **3 marks** for a well-developed point.

The second paragraph is about the parents staying together and using therapy or counselling because it is beneficial for the children. The candidate says, it helps to have two parents around for kids in school and who are having a tough time. Finally having parents around for their children's formative years benefits the kids as it teaches them that relationships can work. This well-developed point was awarded **3 marks**.

In the final paragraph the candidate talks about it being better financially for parents to stay together. The point about tax benefits was not accurate and was not awarded any marks. The candidate points out that a one parent family could struggle to pay bills. This was awarded **2 marks**.