

# Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for each question of this course assessment component (Morality & Belief: Relationships).

## Candidate 3

### Question 24

The candidate was awarded **6 marks**.

The candidate unpacks a range of points about traditional roles of men and women in turn for full marks. Single marks were awarded at 'household', 'dominant' (following a list of characteristics men might be expected to cultivate or display), and 'partner'. Remaining marks were awarded at 'household', 'figures' and 'relationship'.

### Question 25

The candidate was awarded **2 marks**.

The candidate makes two clear points. 1 mark was awarded at 'assault and even rape.' There are no marks for explaining what consent is, as the question was about why someone might see it as essential. The second mark was awarded at the end of the answer for consent as an important aspect of communication.

### Question 26

The candidate was awarded **4 marks**.

The candidate gives two developed points. The first is about impact of racial discrimination on mental wellbeing and gets 2 marks at 'insecurities'. There is very good development in the second point which is built up in three steps, so it is worth 3 marks in total, although only 2 were required for full marks.

### Question 27

The candidate was awarded **3 marks**.

1 mark was awarded for the Christian belief that people are all equal because that is how they were created. A second mark was awarded for the idea that this means that gender or sexuality don't impact on how God sees them. The third mark was awarded for the paraphrased Biblical reference.

### Question 28

The candidate was awarded **6 marks**.

The candidate offers a two-sided evaluation with three clear reasons for each side of the debate. Single marks were awarded for the view that rules are not needed at 'happens', 'any' and 'relationships'. There are no marks for the second sentence because marks are only awarded for reasons, and not opinions – similarly for the opening sentence for the other side of the argument. 1 mark was awarded for the idea that a person needs to be old enough to legally consent, with an additional mark for explaining why this is important at 'needs'. The final mark was awarded at 'infections'. No mark was awarded for the last sentence as it repeats the point given at the start of the answer.