

ENTER NUMBER OF QUESTION	DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN
	<p>Cause and since God is eternal God is the only thing that can be the cause. An example of cause and effect is if a row of dominoes are standing straight and the one at the start gets pushed they will all fall over implying that everything in the universe including the universe itself is a result of cause and effect. Therefore stating that God indeed exists and created the universe as he is eternal.</p>
(b)	<p>Some people could say that Science supports the Cosmological argument because we as humans are the result of our parents, Grandparents, Great Grandparents etc. So that just proves that everything has a cause as the genes passed on make a person who they are. This is known as the family tree.</p>

ENTER NUMBER OF QUESTION	DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN
49 (a)	<p>the teleological Argument is also known as the design Argument as it argues that everything was created with design and a purpose in mind. It says that the world is too complex to be the result of random events so therefore must have been designed by a higher power with a purpose in mind. There is evidence of this in nature also, an example being the Buckeye orchid. The Buckeye orchid is a flower which mimics the smell of the Queen Bee to to attract other bees who then fall into a trap door and end up in a sticky kind of sap to climb out a tunnel filled with pollen so only do it again and this is how pollination happens this is an example of design and purpose but the Argument is opposed by many and several other arguments that David Hume</p>

ENTER NUMBER OF QUESTION	DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN
	<p>will, But in his second Argument he says that out the very best things Henry Jones there is a designer but we don't know for sure if it's God... It could be a team of designers or another God figure.</p>
(b)	<p>The teleological Argument argues Design and purpose. It says that the world is so complex it must have had a designer with a purpose in mind. God is eternal so therefore the only person who can have caused it. Paley says what is known as the watchmaker analogy that basically just because you have the parts to a watch defect near you have a watch so it would need a designer. He also compares the complexity of an eye to a watch. But David Hume counters this with two arguments 1st one saying that an analogical argument</p>

ENTER NUMBER OF QUESTION	DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN
	<p>Strength relies on how similar the two things being compared are which shows a flaw in the watchmaker analogy as the complexity of something that nature and something that is human invention are very different meaning paley used Shovey logic. In his second argument Hume says the even if the argument proves there is a designer there is no evidence for its God. for all we know it could be a team of designers or another religious figure. If it is even anything to do with religion. I do not think this argument is strong enough to prove the existence of God as the reason it had to be God because he is eternal isn't backed up by any sources like "because I said so" and not reversed enough and Hume makes good points that it only proves there was a designer</p>

ENTER NUMBER OF QUESTION	DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN
	At Most But does no certainty it was God.