

Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for each element of the assignment.

Candidate 4

The candidate was awarded **20 marks** because:

- ◆ I1 – awarded at line 55 for the two sentences outlining the Nazi control of Europe during the Second World War.
- ◆ I2 – awarded at line 57 for the sentence outlining other significant factors, such as the help of collaborators and lack coordination by those who try to resist.
- ◆ K1 – awarded at lines 61, 62 and 64 for knowledge points related to how the Nazis imposed terror on Europe.
- ◆ Ex1 – awarded at line 66 for explaining that the Night and Fog decree spread fear.
- ◆ K2 and Ex2 – awarded at line 67 for an integrated sentence, explaining the impact of Nazi ideology, causing fear through all European countries.
- ◆ K3 – awarded at line 71 for historical point of the treatment of Jewish people in the Soviet Union.
- ◆ Ex3 – awarded at line 73 for explaining the impact of the Nazi roundup of European Jews to concentration camps.
- ◆ Ev1 – awarded at line 74 for explaining the overall impact of fear in allowing the Nazis to control the majority of Europe.
- ◆ Ref1 – awarded at line 75 for a valid reference and quote from Alan Farmer. The candidate cites both author, and book title.
- ◆ K4 – awarded at line 78 for the sentence describing who collaborators were.
- ◆ Ex4 – awarded at line 80 for the sentence explaining the impact of collaborators, being people being too scared to talk freely or acting in certain ways as 'they feared been denounced to the Nazis.'
- ◆ K5 – awarded at lines, 82, 83 and 84 for treatment of Jewish people by the French.
- ◆ B2 – awarded at line 86, for a balance comment, explaining the limitations of the rules of the French police owing to the collaboration of the French people: 'only 1500 Nazis were required to run the whole country.'
- ◆ Ex5 – awarded at line 89, for a sentence explaining the impact of the actions of French police and collaborators on ordinary citizens, that it would be hopeless to try to resist themselves.
- ◆ K6 – awarded at line 91 for a historical point on the actions taken by citizens against their neighbours to inform the Nazis.

- ◆ Ev2 – awarded at line 92 for a sentence explaining the overall impact of collaboration, causing a lack of resistance friends, neighbours or family could denounce you to the Nazis, so people didn't know who they could trust. This sentence links back to the introductory sentence of the paragraph, which makes a clear evaluative judgement on the question asked.
- ◆ Ref2 – awarded at line 96 for a reference to Paul Dowswell, citing author, book title, and full quote.
- ◆ B2 – awarded at line 99 for a balance response in two factors.

- ◆ B3 – awarded at line 102 for a balanced response in three relevant factors with some balance within one factor.
- ◆ K7 – awarded at line 103 for the difficulty in spreading ideas as the Nazis banned radios.
- ◆ Ex6 – awarded at line 104 for the comment, explaining why the banning of radios caused a lack of resistance as 'people were unable to be aware of any resistance been planned.'
- ◆ K8 and Ex7 – awarded at line 106 for the Nazis banning Jews from owning bikes and taking all the petrol 'to use for their military...'
- ◆ Ev3 – awarded at line 108 for the sentence linking the lack of transport to the lack of resistance.
- ◆ The last sentence in this paragraph ending at line 109 is a repetition of previous comments, so no marks are awarded.
- ◆ J1 – awarded at line 111 for a clear judgement on the question.
- ◆ SR – awarded at line 112 for explaining that people feared being abducted under the Night and Fog decree and also persecution.
- ◆ J2 – awarded at line 119 for a cumulative series of sentences explaining the relative importance of other factors.

The candidate achieved the following marks for this assignment:

A: Introduction: 2 marks

B: References: 2 marks

C: Knowledge: 4 marks

D: Analysing: 3 marks

E: Evaluating: 3 marks

F: Organising information: 3 marks

G: Conclusion/Judgement: 2 marks

H: Supporting conclusion with reasons: 1 mark

Overall: 20 out of 20 marks