

Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for each question of this question paper.

Question 1(a)(i) and (ii)

The candidate was awarded **4 out of 4 marks**.

1 mark was awarded for 'lace' trimming and **1 mark** was awarded for 'visually appealing, attract customers'.

1 mark was awarded for 'invisible zip', 'discreet' and 'does not draw attention' and **1 mark** was awarded for 'easy to get on and off'.

Question 1(b)

The candidate was awarded **3 out of 4 marks**.

Evaluation 1: 'Silk may not be suitable' as it's 'very warm' (Fact), 'may not be suitable' (Judgement) and 'making her feel uncomfortable' (Impact) (**1 mark**).

Evaluation 2: The 'Summary of fibres properties' grid available on the Fashion Textile Technology subject page, rates silk as two stars (fair) for crease resistance; however, the candidate described it as 'poor' which is factually inaccurate (**0 marks**).

Evaluation 3: 'Silk has poor breathability' (Fact), 'wouldn't be so suitable' (Judgement) and 'would sweat' and be uncomfortable (Impact) (**1 mark**).

Evaluation 4: Silk has 'poor ease of care' (Fact), 'may put customers off' (Judgement) and 'buying the wedding dress' (Impact) (**1 mark**).

Question 1(c)

The candidate was awarded **1 out of 2 marks**.

Point 1: there is not enough detail to know what is being purchased and what chemical free methods are being used **(0 marks)**.

Point 2: 'use recycled fabrics' **(1 mark)**. Please note this would be considered a minimal response for a describe question.

Point 3: 'reduce the pollution' has not been fully explained as the local seller still needs to source the fabric from somewhere **(0 marks)**.

Question 2(a)

The candidate was awarded **1 out of 4 marks**.

Factor 1: the candidate did not explain why clothing for the elderly shouldn't restrict movement and why being stretchy would make it more comfortable **(0 marks)**.

Factor 2: the candidate did not explain why fastenings need to be easy to operate **(0 marks)**.

Factor 3: the explanation links specifically to the elderly **(1 mark)**.

Factor 4: the candidate did not fully explain why the elderly need comfortable clothing **(0 marks)**.

Question 2(b)

The candidate was awarded **1 out of 4 marks**.

Evaluation 1: selling in the 'high street shops may not be so suitable' (Judgement), may not be 'able to go out' (Fact). The candidate's (Impact) 'may put off customers' is inadequate therefore **0 marks** were awarded.

Evaluation 2: 'try on the items before they buy' (Fact), 'this is good' (Judgement) however 'be more likely to buy' is an inaccurate impact **(0 marks)**.

Evaluation 3: selling 'may not be so suitable' (Judgement), 'shop may not have an item in stock' (Fact) and 'might not come back' (Impact) **(0 marks)**.

Evaluation 4: this is the candidate's opinion and therefore not factual **(0 marks)**.

Question 2(c)

The candidate was awarded **1 out of 2 marks**.

Explanation 1: there is no link to the elderly **(0 marks)**.

Explanation 2: the candidate gave a good explanation about warmth/comfort and linked this to the elderly **(1 mark)**.

Question 3(a)(i)

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 4 marks**.

Style feature: ruffled skirt **(1 mark)**.

Explanation: the candidate did not explain why movement is important when performing **(0 marks)**.

Style feature: sequins **(1 mark)**.

Explanation: the candidate did not clearly explain why the sequins would attract attention, for example, reflect lights therefore **0 marks** were awarded.

Question 3(a)(ii)

The candidate was awarded **3 out of 3 marks**.

Reason 1: 'looking smart' **(1 mark)**.

Reason 2: 'not restrict' **(1 mark)**.

Reason 3: 'comfortable' **(1 mark)**.

Question 3(b)

The candidate was awarded **0 out of 2 marks**.

Reason 1: the information is inaccurate (**0 marks**).

Reason 2: the point has not been fully explained (**0 marks**).

Question 3(c)

The candidate was awarded **1 out of 1 mark** because they provided a valid safety rule.