

# Candidate 2

## Stage 1: design and plan

### Themes

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 2 marks** because they identified the two key themes of the brief; 'decorative' and 'Met Gala'.

### Investigations

The candidate was awarded **9 out of 15 marks**.

#### Investigation 1

The candidate used a valid research technique (internet search) **(1 mark)**.

#### Main points taken from the research:

All statements start with 'I will consider' which does not move the investigation on sufficiently and therefore, **0 marks** were awarded. In addition to this, the following features were noted:

- The first statement suggests that six images included silk; however, this is factually incorrect as there are seven images that show silk-based items therefore, **0 marks** were awarded.
- Jewellery is not an accepted item on a textile course **(0 marks)**.
- The statement regarding floor length dresses is factually incorrect because six images are shown in the investigation, while the statement claims there are only five **(0 marks)**.
- The statement regarding three neutral-coloured outfits is factually incorrect because brown is not a neutral colour **(0 marks)**.

- The statement regarding embroidery and gems is inconclusive because the details are too difficult to see in the small images, making it uncertain whether the claim is correct **(0 marks)**.

## Investigation 2

The candidate used a valid research technique 'questionnaire' and source 'teenagers with an interest in the Met Gala' **(1 mark)**.

### Main points taken from the research:

The following statements gained marks as they are factually correct and show the minimal progression required to achieve a mark:

- 'monochromatic' **(1 mark)**
- 'light pink colour' **(1 mark)**
- 'embellishments' **(1 mark)**
- 'Silk' **(1 mark)**

The candidate's statement regarding theme is factually incorrect **(0 marks)**.

The candidate's statement regarding 'jewellery' was awarded **0 marks** because this is not an acceptable textile item and the statement is not progressive.

The candidate did not gain a mark because although they have mentioned two items in the statement, it's not progressive and did not indicate which item would be taken forward **(0 marks)**.

## Investigation 3

The candidate used a valid research technique 'Interview with an expert' and source 'teacher' **(1 mark)**.

### Main points taken from the research:

No key points were evident within the investigation. Therefore, **0 marks** were awarded.

## Presentation of an appropriate solution

### The solution

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 4 marks** because the solution can be visualised; however, it lacks sufficient detail such as the arrangement of beads, the placement of lace on the dress, and the type of fabric used in the cut-out area.

### Design features

The candidate was awarded **7 out of 14 marks**.

- **1 mark** was awarded for 'Lace', however, **0 marks** was awarded for the justification as it is not supported by evidence from the expert.
- **1 mark** was awarded for 'Beads', however, **0 marks** was awarded for the justification it is not supported by evidence from the expert.

### Properties and characteristics

- **1 mark** was awarded for 'good drapery', however, **0 marks** were awarded for the justification as it does not explain how the good drapery would make it aesthetically pleasing.
- **1 mark** was awarded for 'good crease resistance', however, **0 marks** were awarded for the justification as it does not explain the relevance of crease resistance to the overall success of the item.

### Construction techniques

- **1 mark** was awarded for 'Hand stitching' and **1 mark** for the justification which is linked to investigation 3.
- **1 mark** was awarded for 'Inner lining', however the lining justification was awarded **0 marks** as there was no reference to it being made of silk within the linked investigation.

## Stage 2: evaluation

### Testing

The candidate was awarded **3 out of 3 marks** because they performed a valid test 'Sensory test' and 'teenagers' and provided a clear set of results with an accurate key.

### Key points

The candidate was awarded **0 out of 4 marks** because the results summarised were accurate, however the statements did not explain why this was successful or unsuccessful in meeting the brief.

### Evaluation

The candidate was awarded **0 out of 3 marks** because although each evaluation point included an opinion and a fact, none demonstrated a clear or substantial consequence.