

Candidate 1 evidence

Purpose: 'to investigate how might the introduction of tourist taxes impact an economy'

Conclusions/Recommendations

Individuals

From my research [I can conclude that the introduction of tourist taxes could negatively impact individuals. I know this because my research suggested that taxes on day trips are difficult to enforce and do not raise much revenue to deal with over tourism, and if taxes are set too high people may not be able to afford the higher prices or be able to afford other tourist experiences.]

1C

Firms

From my research [I can conclude that the introduction of tourist taxes could negatively impact firms. I know this because my research suggested that if it becomes too expensive to stay in cities with high tourist taxes hotels could lose visitors and this could have a negative impact on other tourism businesses to because their sales could fall.]

1C

Government

From my research [I can conclude that the introduction of tourist taxes could positively impact the government. I know this because my research suggested that the taxes could be used to improve infrastructure and public services. The taxes could also be used to add to tourist attractions.]

1C

Therefore, [I recommend tourist taxes should be introduced. This is because local residents can benefit from better infrastructure and services and spending on tourist attractions could also encourage more visitors which would be good for the tourism industry]

1R

Candidate 2 evidence

Purpose: 'to investigate the impact of an increase in the vaping and tobacco tax'

Conclusions and Recommendations

[In conclusion, that an increase in the tax of vaping and tobacco products would highly benefit the economy as the government would be able to spend the excess revenue on more beneficial projects, such as building schools or bridges.] An increased tax will also relieve the stress off of the NHS, as there would be less

1C

people falling ill. Furthermore, there will be a higher working population of less people are sick. The tax would be good to discourage the younger generations from starting to smoke or vape. However, the tax would make it harder for current smokers to quit. [I think that the government should set up a system to

REP

REP

REP

help more people quit as it would increase the economic growth in the long run and deeply improve the individual's standard of living.] I recommend that

1R

individuals who have proven they are trying to quit smoking could purchase vapes at a cheaper price, as this would overall benefit the UK's workforce, as less people would be getting ill due to tobacco. However, firms and individuals may find a way around this to buy cheaper vapes for themselves or to sell. By enforcing the tax, the government can have tighter restrictions on vape and tobacco purchasing. Obviously, the government has no control over who chooses to vape or smoke, but an increased tax on these products will make people more aware of the dangers they bring, as only these types of products are typically taxed at a high rate.

2/4