

Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks.

Candidate 6

Writing: Study

Identifier: 'It has often been argued that...'

The candidate was awarded **9 marks** for a response that is just above average overall. The task is fully achieved but some points lack clarity, while the range of grammar and vocabulary is only reasonably wide.

Fully achieves task but support is not always clear, for example, broken phones and safety. (9-10) Writing is mostly coherent and cohesive (9-10) and style and layout are wholly appropriate. There is evidence of structure/paragraphing. (11-12)

The candidate uses a reasonably wide range of vocabulary mainly accurately: 'navigate', 'not focused on', 'materials', 'unfamiliar'. (9-10) There are minor spelling errors.

The Candidate uses a reasonably wide range of grammatical structures: 'learners who used were...', 'another disadvantage has to do with', 'were not focused on'. (9-10) Punctuation is mostly accurate.