

Commentary on candidate evidence

Sunny Acres

Database features

Candidate 1

The candidate was awarded **1 out of 2 marks**. The second response is too vague.

Candidate 2

The candidate was awarded **1 out of 2 marks**. The second response is too vague.

Candidate 3

The candidate was awarded **1 out of 2 marks**. Both responses are correct, however a mark was not awarded because the comments are still on the printout.

Security of electronic information

Candidate 1

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 3 marks**. The second response is too vague.

Candidate 2

The candidate was awarded **1 out of 3 marks**. The first and third responses are about file management not electronic information.

Candidate 3

The candidate was awarded **0 out of 3 marks**. None of the responses are explicit to the organisation.

Candidate 4

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 3 marks**. The third response is about property, not electronic information.

Health and Safety

Candidate 1

The candidate was awarded **1 out of 3 marks**. The explanation of the first response is too vague. The third response is incorrect.

Candidate 2

The candidate was awarded **1 out of 3 marks**. Neither the first nor the third response is explicit to the organisation. The third response is also a repeated explanation.

Candidate 3

The candidate was awarded **0 out of 3 marks**. None of the responses are explanations.

Candidate 4

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 3 marks**. The first response is an example not an explanation.