

Candidate 9 evidence

To conclude, there are significant differences between the nature and status of the UK and US Constitutional systems. Within the UK, the constitution is uncodified, flexible and easy to amend. Whereas, within the US, the constitution is codified, rigid and time consuming to amend. This shows that the ~~the~~ ^{nature} of the UK constitution is more

Suited to modern day life, due to its ability to adapt easily and is less time consuming than adapting the US. The UK constitution has a fusion of powers which means that the Prime Minister has a majority in parliament. Whereas, within the US, there is a separation of powers and therefore the President is not a member of Congress. This shows that the PM has more powers than the President because he is a member of parliament, controls the agenda and has a majority. In the UK, individuals are protected by statutes and common law and their rights aren't expressed

in one place. Whereas, within the US, there is a Bill of Rights ensure the basic liberties of all citizens. The fact that the US constitution can declare laws unconstitutional shows that the constitution is more powerful.

Overall, whilst the constitution is more easily changed, suited to modern day society and the PM has more powers, the fact that the US has a Bill of rights in a single document makes the US constitution more powerful.