## Commentary on candidate responses

The marking issues identified in this document are based on examples that were noted during Quality Assurance and Appeals procedures in Spring/Autumn 2021.

| Question | Candidate response | Max mark | Mark awarded | Commentary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(b) | A | 3 | 0 | The candidate has not selected an appropriate relationship. Following the statement of an inappropriate relationship, a correct relationship cannot be implied by 'correct' substitution of values. |
|  | B | 3 | 1 | The candidate has selected an appropriate relationship but has not correctly substituted values ( $v=0, u=-10.7$ ). |
|  | C | 3 | 3 | The candidate has selected an appropriate relationship, correctly substituted values (consistent sign convention), and calculated an acceptable final answer. |
| 1 (c) | A | 3 | 3 | The candidate has selected an appropriate relationship, substituted values consistent with their final answer for 1(a)(i), and given an acceptable final answer. |
|  | B | 3 | 1 | The candidate has selected an appropriate relationship but has not correctly substituted values ( 10.7 rather than 11.9). |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1(d) | A | 2 | 2 | The candidate has made an acceptable statement ('higher' is an acceptable alternative to 'greater') and has given an acceptable justification ('going faster' is an acceptable alternative to 'has a greater speed'). |
|  | B | 2 | 1 | The candidate has made an acceptable statement, but their justification is incomplete, lacking an indication of potential energy being converted to kinetic energy. |
|  | C | 2 | 0 | The candidate has made an incorrect statement. Following an incorrect statement, no marks are awarded for a 'correct' justification. |
| 2(a) | A | 4 | 1 | The candidate has selected an appropriate relationship but has not correctly substituted values due to incorrect transposition ( $a=\frac{m}{F}$ rather than $a=\frac{F}{m}$ ). |


| Question | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Candidate } \\ \text { response }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Max } \\ \text { mark }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Mark } \\ \text { awarded }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Commentary }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | B | 4 | 3 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { The candidate has selected an appropriate } \\ \text { relationship and has correctly substituted } \\ \text { values in both uses of the relationship. The } \\ \text { candidate's final answer, however, is not } \\ \text { acceptable, due to rounding at the }\end{array}$ |
| intermediate stage. |  |  |  |  |
| The mark for the final answer is not |  |  |  |  |
| awarded. |  |  |  |  |$]$| 2(b)(i) |
| :--- |


| Question | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Candidate } \\ \text { response }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Max } \\ \text { mark }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Mark } \\ \text { awarded }\end{array}$ | Commentary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { values ( } F t \text { and } u \text { must have opposite } \\ \text { signs). }\end{array}$ |
| 3(c) | A | 1 | 0 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { The candidate's explanation is not } \\ \text { sufficiently precise. Kinetic energy should } \\ \text { be specified. }\end{array}$ |
|  | B | 1 | 0 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { The candidate's explanation is not } \\ \text { sufficiently precise. 'Goes down' and 'goes } \\ \text { up' are not acceptable alternatives to } \\ \text { 'decreases' and 'increases'. }\end{array}$ |
|  | C | 1 | 1 | The candidate's explanation is acceptable. |
| 3(d) | A | 2 | 0 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { '个' and ' } \downarrow \text { ' are not acceptable alternatives } \\ \text { to 'increases' and 'decreases'. }\end{array}$ |
|  | B | 2 | 1 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { The candidate has not specified an } \\ \text { increase in time of contact but has } \\ \text { specified a decrease in force. }\end{array}$ |
| 5(a) | A | 3 | 1 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { The candidate has selected an appropriate } \\ \text { relationship but has not correctly substituted } \\ \text { values ( } G=6.67 \times 10^{11} \text { rather than } \\ \left.G=6.67 \times 10^{-11}\right) . \text { Following an incorrect }\end{array}$ |
| 5(b)(i) | A | 1 | 1 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Ther } \\ \text { substitution, a correct substitution cannot be } \\ \text { implied by an acceptable final answer. }\end{array}$ |
|  | B | 1 | 0 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { The candidate has given an acceptable } \\ \text { alternative explanation. } \\ \text { The candidate's explanation is incorrect. }\end{array}$ |
| The candidate has selected an appropriate |  |  |  |  |
| relionship and correctly substituted |  |  |  |  |
| values. They have, however, incorrectly |  |  |  |  |
| rounded the calculated value on the |  |  |  |  |
| nominator of the vulgar fraction at an |  |  |  |  |
| intermediate stage (4.814 is incorrectly |  |  |  |  |
| rounded from 4.8148 ...). |  |  |  |  |
| Despite a correct final answer, this is |  |  |  |  |
| treated as an arithmetic error and the mark |  |  |  |  |
| for the final answer is not awarded. |  |  |  |  |$\}$


| Question | Candidate response | Max mark | Mark awarded | Commentary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | 1 | 0 | The candidate's explanation is not sufficiently precise. |
| 6(a)(i) | A | 3 | 1 | The candidate has implied the selection of an appropriate relationship ( $\Delta E=h f$ ). <br> However, as the candidate has not shown all substituted values (no value for $h$ ) and given an incorrect final answer, correct substitution into $\Delta E=h f$ cannot be implied by the incorrect final answer. |
|  | B | 3 | 3 | The candidate has selected an appropriate relationship, correctly substituted values (it is assumed that the two negative signs applied to $5.45 \times 10^{-19}$ have been 'cancelled') and given an acceptable final answer. |
| 6(b) | A | 3 | 2 | The candidate has selected an appropriate relationship and has correctly substituted values. The final answer, however, is incorrect ( 0.01503 rather than 0.01500 ). |
| 6(c) | A | 2 | 1 | The candidate has implied, but not specifically used the term 'Expanding Universe' and so is awarded the first mark referenced in the marking instructions but not the second. |
|  | B | 2 | 1 | Again, this candidate has implied, but not specifically used the term 'Expanding Universe' and so is awarded the first mark referenced in the marking instructions but not the second. |
|  | C | 2 | 0 | The candidate has not sufficiently explained that redshift implies galaxies moving away from each other. |
| 7(a)(i) | A | 1 | 0 | The candidate's explanation is insufficient for the mark to be awarded. The statement 'in a straight line' is not an acceptable alternative for 'in the same direction'. |
|  | B | 1 | 1 | The use of 'repelled' in the candidate's explanation implies that, without an alternating supply voltage, the force on the hydrogen ion would not be in the same direction. |
| 7(a)(ii) | A | 1 | 1 | The candidate's suggestion is an acceptable alternative to 'they travel further in the same time'. |
|  | B | 1 | 0 | The candidate's suggestion is incorrect. |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Question | Candidate <br> response | Max <br> mark | Mark <br> awarded | Commentary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 7(b) | A | 3 | 1 | The candidate has selected an appropriate <br> relationship but has not correctly substituted <br> values $\left(0.5 c^{2}\right.$ rather than $(0.5 c)^{2}$ ). |
|  | B | 3 | 2 | The candidate has selected appropriate <br> relationships and has correctly substituted <br> values. The candidate has given the final <br> answer to an unacceptable number of <br> significant figures (sig figs), (correct final <br> answer to 2 sig figs, acceptable final <br> answers to 1, 3 or 4 sig figs). |
| 8(a) | A | 1 | 1 | The candidate has given an acceptable <br> alternative explanation. Although 'work <br> function' is not specifically mentioned, the <br> process is satisfactorily described. |
| 8(b)(ii) | A | 2 | 1 | The candidate has selected an appropriate <br> relationship and has correctly substituted <br> values. Although the candidate has stated <br> the given final answer, the penultimate line <br> is an incorrect final answer, which has not <br> been scored out. |
| 9(a)(i) | A | 2 | 1 | The candidate has correctly substituted <br> values but rounding of the intermediate <br> calculation has resulted in an unacceptable <br> final answer. |
| 9(b)(ii) | A | 3 | 2 | The candidate has correctly substituted <br> values but has given the final answer <br> rounded to an unacceptable number of <br> significant figures (correct final answer to 3 <br> sig figs, acceptable final answers to 2, 4 or <br> 5 sig figs). |
| and $d$ and correctly calculated the four |  |  |  |  |
| values of $I \times d^{2}$. |  |  |  |  |


| Question | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Candidate } \\ \text { response }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Max } \\ \text { mark }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Mark } \\ \text { awarded }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Commentary } \\ \text { The statement 'as the results are all similar' } \\ \text { does not sufficiently imply } \\ \text { ' } I \times d^{2}=\text { constant'. }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | B | 3 | 2 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { The candidate has substituted values of } I \\ \text { and } d \text { and correctly calculated the four } \\ \text { values of } I \times d^{2} . \\ \text { The calculation of a mean value is not a } \\ \text { valid analysis when different values of the } \\ \text { independent variable are used in the } \\ \text { calculation. }\end{array}$ |
| 9(b)(iii)(A) | A | 1 | 1 | The candidate's statement is acceptable. |
| 9(b)(iii)(B) | A | 3 | 1 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { The candidate's explanation does not } \\ \text { specify either electrons moving from the } \\ \text { conduction band of the n-type } \\ \text { semiconductor towards the conduction } \\ \text { band of the p-type semiconductor or } \\ \text { photons being emitted. } \\ \text { The statement 'electrons ...drop energy }\end{array}$ |
| levels and fill slots in the valence band' is |  |  |  |  |
| sufficient for the second mark specified in |  |  |  |  |
| the marking instructions to be awarded. |  |  |  |  |$\}$



| Question | Candidate response | Max mark | Mark awarded | Commentary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14(a) | A | 3 | 1 | The candidate's description covers the variation in resistance to take readings of $V$ and $I$ but does not specify drawing a graph of $V$ against $I$, and, assuming a graph of $V$ against $I$ was intended, it incorrectly states that the gradient gives $r$ (should be $-r$ ). |
| 14(b)(i) | A | 1 | 0 | The candidate's statement is unclear. |
|  | B | 1 | 0 | In the diagram drawn by the candidate, the reading on the voltmeter would be the EMF of 1.5 V , but the diagram does not indicate what is meant by this. |
| 14(c) | A | 3 | 2 | The candidate's statement is correct, and the candidate has given an acceptable alternative justification, which is incomplete (no mention of current being less). |
|  | B | 3 | 0 | The candidate's statement is incorrect. Following an incorrect statement, a 'correct' justification would not be consistent with the statement and is awarded 0 marks. |
| 15(a) | A | 2 | 1 | The candidate's explanation covers the increase in frictional force with speed and indicates that terminal velocity is reached when drag and weight are equal but does not state either 'equal and opposite' or 'balanced'. |
|  | B | 2 | 0 | The candidate's explanation covers the point that terminal velocity is reached when the forces acting are balanced but does not identify the forces or indicate the increase in drag with speed. |

