

Candidate 4 – Ban smoking in all public places

Candidate 4's Assignment has achieved the following marks for each aspect of the Coursework Assessment task.

The issue of banning smoking in all public places is an acceptable topic. The candidate was awarded 1 mark for knowledge of the smoking ban, though the wrong year was given. **(1 mark – knowledge)**

The second paragraph (Reason to support my proposal) combines various points from different sources and combines them to form an argument. **(2 marks for analysis/synthesis)**

Much of the third paragraph is irrelevant. However, brief analysis is shown in a reference to the impact of smoking on the environment and health **(1 mark – analysis)**.

In the fourth paragraph the candidate references the ASH foundation and makes brief analysis of the cost on the NHS. The rest of the paragraph demonstrates fairly simplistic knowledge regarding the impact on fire services. **(1 mark – analysis, 1 mark – knowledge)**

Under the Reasons to oppose my proposal heading, the candidate analyses two sources (paragraph 1) and pulls the evidence together at the end regarding second hand smoke: 'If this proposal was to be put in places it may increase the amount of second hand smoke.' **(2 marks – analysis)**

In the second paragraph (Under Reasons to oppose heading), the reference to smokers' rights is relevant and new information. The comparison with phones is overly simplistic and does not gain a mark. **(1 mark – knowledge)**

In the Rebuttal section, the candidate makes reference to harm caused by smoking and the alternatives which is relevant knowledge **(1 mark – knowledge)**. A lot of the rest of the response here is confused or repetitive.

Under the heading 'Possible alternative proposal' the candidate proposes banning of smoking altogether and why it may or may not work. This is quite simplistic but is awarded **1 mark for knowledge**.

The candidate's conclusion restates their decision and gives justification for banning in all public places, ie cost / save deaths and education impact. There is some brief consideration/rejection of an alternative option. **(2 marks – conclusion)**

The candidate has made no attempt at evaluation of sources and is awarded zero marks.

This response uses appropriate headings, ie a report style and some reference to the sources. However, it does not flow consistently and is quite simplistic in its vocabulary. **(2 marks – structure)**

Some of the knowledge is irrelevant or repeated and shows that information not on the source sheet does not always equate to relevant knowledge. The candidate does not always back up their original decision with clear arguments. Referencing, while not explicitly linked, is present.

Knowledge	5
Analysis/Synthesis	6
Source Evaluation	0
Structure	2
Decision	2

The candidate was awarded 15/30 marks for this Assignment.