

## Candidate 2 evidence

ENTER NUMBER OF QUESTION		DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN
0	<p>My first conclusion is that MUP has had <del>the</del> a miniscule affect on those in social classes A and B. The evidence for this is that source C shows that social class AB's average units purchased per week has stayed roughly the same as before MUP or has even seen a marginal increase. This is backed up by source A which says "But it is not the prosero drinking well-to-do in society that minimum unit pricing affects." This clearly shows that MUP has had no effect on the <del>the</del> social class AB's drinking habits.</p>	
	<p>My second conclusion is <del>that since</del> that the introduction of MUP will result in a decrease <del>or</del> in crime. The evidence</p>	

ENTER NUMBER OF QUESTION		DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN
	<p>for this is that source B shows the number of alcohol related crimes, violent crimes, is predicted to decrease from 180,000 to 170,000 per year a massive decrease of 10,000 between 2018 and 2023. <del>and</del> Furthermore, source B shows a decrease of <del>in</del> hate predicted decrease in the number of hate crimes from 160,000 in 2018 to 145,000 in 2023 a drop of <del>for</del> 15 thousand. This is backed up by source A which says "Scottal May 2018 Scotland ... implement an MUP minimum unit price for alcohol. This clearly shows link between the introduction of MUP and a decrease in crime."</p>	
	<p>My third conclusion is that MUP</p>	

ENTER NUMBER OF QUESTION		DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN
	<p>will reduce alcohol related ill health in Scotland. The evidence for this is <del>that</del> source B shows that alcohol related hospitalisations are predicted to decrease from 12,000 to 9,000 per year a 25% decrease <del>when</del> between 2018 and 2023 whereas in England alcohol related hospital admissions are expected to rise by over 4 thousand in the same period. This is backed up by source A which says "As binge drinking is a major cause of hospitalisation, it is hoped that alcohol related ill health will <del>decrease</del> be reduced." Since source A also says "Other UK countries have yet to introduce MUP," it shows a clear correlation between MUP and reducing alcohol related illness.</p>	

ENTER NUMBER OF QUESTION		DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN
	ill health.	
	My <del>final</del> Overall conclusion is that	
	<del>overall</del> MUP <del>was</del> <del>with</del> has had little	
	impact on people's drinking habits. The	
	evidence <del>for</del> this is source A shows	
	63% of people said their drinking	
	habits wouldn't change <del>to</del> which	
	is a significant majority. Additionally,	
	Source C shows no change in the	
	units purchased <del>per</del> per week by women	
	and only a small decrease for men since	
	MUP was introduced showing people's	
	habits haven't changed very much.	
	2 The evidence to support the statement	
	is source A shows 57% of people	
	agree that the threat of nuclear weapons	
	has decreased in recent years. This	

ENTER NUMBER OF QUESTION		DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN
	<p>backed up by source B which shows</p>	
	<p>The total number of nuclear warheads</p>	
	<p>stockpiled has decreased by almost 53,000</p>	
	<p>in the <del>last</del> a 32 year period. This is</p>	
	<p>further backed up by source A which</p>	
	<p>says "the treaty on the prohibition of</p>	
	<p>nuclear weapons" was signed by 22</p>	
	<p>countries". This is further backed up</p>	
	<p>by source B which says "The USA and</p>	
	<p>Russia both meeting their new</p>	
	<p>START targets" <del>and</del> and since source A says</p>	
	<p>" new <del>start</del> START treaty ... to reduce</p>	
	<p>their number of <del>strategic</del> strategic warheads</p>	
	<p>shows that effort <del>to</del> toward</p>	
	<p>de-nuclearisation and reducing nuclear threat</p>	
	<p>has been <del>made</del>.</p>	
	<p>The evidence to oppose the statement</p>	
	<p>is that <del>for</del> source A says "since then</p>	

ENTER NUMBER OF QUESTION		DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN
	<p>Other countries have continued to develop their nuclear weapons stockpile. This is backed up by source B which shows 3 new countries have developed nuclear weapons in the last 32 years. Source B also shows that between 1965 and 2017 china's number of war heads has gone up by <del>54</del><sup>54</sup> what it was (5 to 270). This is further backed up by source B which shows the American President threatening the use of nuclear weapons when he is quoted saying he would "totally destroy" North Korea.</p> <p>*60 support the statement</p>	
	<p>Overall the statement is largely accurate as the most important pieces of <del>major</del><sup>+</sup> evidence <del>for</del> were source A <sup>in</sup> shows <del>and</del> Over half (57%) of people think the threat of</p>	

ENTER NUMBER OF QUESTION	DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN
	<p>uclear weapons has decreased in recent years and that source B shows the total number of warheads has decreased by <del>53%</del> 53,000 between 1985 and 2017.</p>
3	<p>Source A is very reliable as it is a survey published by <del>IPZ</del> Ipsos Mori, a world <del>wide</del> world wide respected, reliable polling company. Additionally, the sample size of the survey was large (over 1000) so is representative of the diversity of opinion people have.</p>
	<p>Source B is <del>fairly</del> <sup>very</sup> unreliable as the information is from source was published in 2016 so the information is 3 years old and outdated. <del>Additionally</del> Additionally, the image comes from,</p>

ENTER NUMBER OF QUESTION		DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN
	<p>the official vote leave campaign, so although we can assume the figures are accurate the source is biased and only gives a one sided view of the issue.</p>	
	<p>Source C, is fairly reliable as it was published in 2017 so is recent and up to date. However, the data is presented in a way which looks misleading it appears that the greens have around 3 quarters of the votes of labour when <del>they get</del> the figures show they received less than a <del>four</del> fifth.</p>	
	<p>Overall Source A is the most reliable. <del>then</del> Source A is more reliable than source B as source A is a survey <del>of</del> of over 1000 people so gives a variety</p>	



ENTER NUMBER OF QUESTION		DO NOT WRITE IN THIS MARGIN
	<p>of views <del>whereas</del> whereas source B is</p>	
	<p>by the vote leave campaign which is</p>	
	<p>biased and only gives a one sided argument.</p>	
	<p>Source A is more reliable than Source</p>	
	<p><del>at</del> C as source A has a clear pie chart</p>	
	<p>with which is easy to interpret. Whereas</p>	
	<p>the graphic <del>in</del> in source C seems</p>	
	<p>misleading as it appears the greens almost</p>	
	<p>had as large a share of the vote as</p>	
	<p>labour when <del>in</del> in fact the figures show</p>	
	<p>labour received over 5x the percentage</p>	
	<p>of the vote than <del>about</del> the Green</p>	
	<p>Party.</p>	