

Commentary on Candidate evidence

Candidate 8 Total marks 35/50

Task		Expected Response	Max Mark	Mark Awarded	Additional guidance	
1.	(a)	Related orthographic drawings and sectional views of both components, parts 1 and 2	6	5	Three related views of both components (must be correct orientation and accurate to sizes given) – 1 mark for each component (maximum 2 marks)	The candidate produced the three related orthographic views of in the correct orientation and accurately to the sizes given and so achieved 2 marks.
					Accurate hidden detail shown in elevation and plan of both components – 1 mark for each component (maximum 2 marks)	Hidden detail was produced for both components and so achieved 2 marks.
					Correct sectioning of both end elevations through the given cutting planes. (1 mark)	The candidate did not produce both sections as shown in the data sheets, 0 marks.
					The majority of dimensions given to allow for manufacture (this mark is for recognising important dimensions, not for applying British Standards) (1 mark)	Majority of dimensions were included and so achieved 1 mark. British Standards were not assessed at this stage.

	(b)	Related orthographic views of assembly	8	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Accurate modelling of part 1 (1 mark) ♦ Accurate modelling of part 2 (1 mark) ♦ Accurate modelling of part 3 (1 mark) ♦ Accurate modelling of part 4 (1 mark) 	The four component parts of the model were created to a high degree of accuracy. 4 marks were awarded, 1 for each component.
					A stepped section cutting plane added to the drawing (i.e. perpendicular, starts and ends above and below the elevation). Correct position not assessed at this stage (1 mark)	A stepped section cutting place has been created. 1 mark.
					The stepped section shows how parts 2 and 3 fit together (1 mark)	The stepped sectional view does show how parts 2 and 3 fit together and so achieved 1 mark.
					The stepped section shows how parts 1 and 4 fit together (1 mark)	The stepped sectional view does show how parts 1 and 4 fit together and so achieved 1 mark.
					Relevant enlargement view showing how parts 2 and 3 fit together (1 mark)	No enlargement view has been produced. 0 marks.

1.	(c)	Exploded isometric view of assembly	3	2	Exploded isometric view (1 mark)	The view produced is not presented isometrically, 0 marks.
					Correct alignment and order of components (1 mark)	Components have been separated in the correct order for assembly; however, they are not aligned correctly. 0 marks.
					Correct spacing of components – no overlap (1 mark)	There is no overlap on any components however, and so achieved 1 mark.
	(d)	Standards and conventions	3	3	<p>Evidence will come from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ dimensioning ♦ 3rd angle projection symbol ♦ suitable scale(s) ♦ information in title block ♦ annotations (e.g. PCD, chamfer, part numbers) ♦ line types ♦ view labels (e.g. End Elevation etc.) ♦ hatching <p>If there is evidence of 6 or more from the above list (3 marks)</p> <p>If there is evidence of 4 or 5 from the above list (2 marks)</p> <p>If there is evidence of 1 to 3 from the above list (1 mark)</p>	<p>The candidate has shown evidence of dimensioning to British Standards. The third angle projection symbol has been included.</p> <p>The drawing has been produced at a suitable scale, in this case 1 : 1. There is annotation of the PCD as well as the chamfer.</p> <p>Views have been correctly labelled. Hatching has been applied appropriately.</p> <p>The candidate has evidence of 6 standards and conventions from the list and so achieved 3 marks.</p>

Task		Expected Response	Max Mark	Mark Awarded	Additional guidance	
2.	(a)	Rendered pictorial illustration	7	4	Correct assembled environment – for example: walls, tabletop (1 mark)	A wall, floor and tabletop can be seen, the scene has been well put together and so achieved 1 mark .
					Materials applied on walls and surface, suitably scaled (1 mark)	The materials applied are appropriate for the scene. 1 mark .
					Speaker casing and minimum of 1 STEP file included suitably scaled relative to each other (speaker casing and STEP file must be sited on surface and not floating) (1 mark)	The speaker casing, lamp STEP file and audio cable STEP file have been correctly added to the scene. There are several other objects in the scene that were not provided with the assessment task and were therefore not considered during marking. 1 mark .
					A variety of appropriate materials applied to the correct scale to speaker casing, components and STEP file(s) (1 mark)	The material choices are appropriate and create an effective scene, 1 mark .
					Logo graphic applied to speaker casing or a given STEP file and scaled correctly (1 mark)	The logo has been applied retrospectively as it does not appear in any other graphic of the speaker casing. 0 marks .

					Skilled application of suitable/appropriate lighting, highlights and shadows. Rendered scene must be appropriately scaled, viewed and not pixelated. (2 marks)	The lighting style applied did not tie in with the room shown. Heavy shadows are cast against one wall. The image is also quite pixelated. 0 marks.
	(b)	Two layouts/thumbnails for twofold leaflet (both sides)	3	3	Clarity and quality of both layouts/thumbnails (1 mark)	Candidate has produced two layouts that show clearly the intention of their DTP work, 1 mark.
A range of effective ideas/possible solutions (1 mark)					The layouts show 2 very different ideas for effective DTP layouts, 1 mark.	
Layouts/thumbnails must each include the front, back and double panel spread with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the assembled speaker - company name/ logo - body text - a minimum of 2 selected images (1 mark) 					From the sketches and annotation, it is clear that the candidate has included all pieces asked for in the task, 1 mark.	
	(c)	Final copy of twofold leaflet (both sides)	12	7	Correct proportion (length \approx double the height) and notice taken of folds (1 mark)	The leaflet has been created at the correct size, 1 mark.

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Leaflet includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - speaker - company name/logo - colour(s) - copy text - 2 or more given/edited images <p>(1 mark)</p>	The leaflet includes all items as required by the task, 1 mark.
					<p>Identifying and justify rhythm (2 marks): Award 1 mark for identifying use of rhythm, award 1 mark for justifying use of rhythm.</p>	In this case the candidate identified where rhythm was created, but did not justify its use, 1 mark.
					<p>Identifying and justify depth (2 marks): Award 1 mark for identifying use of depth, award 1 mark for justifying use of depth.</p>	In this case the candidate identified where depth was created, but did not justify its use, 1 mark.
					<p>Elements and principles applied with a high level of skill and significant visual impact (3 marks): Award 2 marks if good use of design principles and elements, providing some relevant visual impact Award only 1 mark if limited use of design principles and elements providing limited visual impact</p>	The candidate has produced work that has limited visual impact. There are few examples of effective use of Elements or Principles. 1 marks.

					<p>DTP features applied with a high level of skill and significant visual impact (3 marks): Award 2 marks if DTP features applied with some level of skill and relevant visual impact Award only 1 mark of DTP features applied with a limited level of skill and limited visual impact.</p>	<p>The candidate has used DTP features to produce a layout that has relevant visual impact. There is evidence of grid structure in the placement of the text boxes. Drop shadows have been used to some effect and transparency has been applied to the cropped image of the microphone. 2 marks.</p>
3.	(a)	Exploded pictorial sketch	4		<p>An appropriate pictorial sketch, in proportion which gives an accurate representation of all 3 components; High quality sketch meeting all of the above criteria (2 marks) Good quality sketch meeting some of the above criteria (1 mark) If work is traced or of limited quality/clarity (0 marks)</p>	<p>The sketch produced is not an accurate representation of the luggage tag as it shows a solid base and no 'trough' for the part c to fit into 0 marks.</p>
					<p>A rendered sketch of parts a and c, including appropriate highlights and shadows High quality rendered sketch (2 marks) Good quality rendered sketch (1 marks) Poor quality rendered sketch (0 marks)</p>	<p>The render does not give a clear representation of how light interacts with the luggage tag. The one curved surface that can be seen does not show tonal scale. 0 marks.</p>

	(b)	Final copy of luggage tag graphic (both sides)	4		Includes the colours, text and an image from the brief, correct size (168 x 64), and notice taken of bleed margins (there should be no text within this margin, but may include graphics) (1 mark)	The luggage tag graphic produced includes all the items required by the task. 1 mark.
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Tag should include DTP techniques from list; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - cropping - rotate - transparency - reverse - bleed - flow text along a path - drop shadow - colour fill <p>3 or more used effectively within layout(s) (2 marks)</p> <p>2 from list used effectively within layout(s) (1 mark)</p>	The microphone graphic has been cropped, rotated and had a transparency and colour applied to it. The speaker graphic has been cropped and had a transparency applied. 2 marks.

					DTP techniques labelled (1 mark)	The candidate has correctly identified their use of the DTP techniques, and though not required has justified their use. 1 mark
--	--	--	--	--	----------------------------------	--