

Commentary on candidate evidence

The evidence for these candidates has achieved the following marks for each section of the assignment.

Candidate 1

Do the environments of an inner-city housing estate and a suburb differ?

Page 1

The first knowledge and understanding (KU) mark is awarded at 'looks and feels very new' (**1 KU mark**) for background knowledge of the Milton of Leys area. A second KU mark is awarded at the end of the paragraph for background information on Dalneigh at 'in the Inverness area' (**1 KU mark**). A mark for the first gathering technique (T1) is awarded at '10 (superb)' (**1 T1 mark**) for a detailed description of using an environmental quality survey.

Page 2

A second mark for technique is awarded at 'of a different area' (**1 T1 mark**) for two short evaluations of the environmental quality survey. A third mark for this technique is awarded at the end of the paragraph at 'very good judge' (**1 T1 mark**) for an evaluation of this technique being subjective.

A mark for the second gathering technique (online research) is awarded at 'whole of MOL and Dalneigh' (**1 T2 mark**) for a detailed description of how the website was used. Another mark is awarded for this technique at 'public to use' (**1 T2 mark**) for an evaluation of this technique. The final mark for gathering is awarded at 'developing city' (**1 T2 mark**) for a second evaluation of the date of the census data compared to the recent growth of Inverness.

The first mark for reference to processed information (PI) is awarded at 'was 93' (**1 PI mark**) for a comparison ('nicer') backed up with data from the graphs.

Page 3

A PI mark is awarded at 'Dalneigh scored 7' (**1 PI mark**) for comparison ('higher') backed up with data. A mark for analysis (AN) is awarded at 'keep their property well maintained' (**1 AN mark**) for an explanation of these findings. A PI mark is awarded at '17%' (**1 PI mark**) for the comparison of 'limited a lot' with the figure of 5% above. A carat is placed at 12% as although there is data, this does not have qualitative language to further develop the point. A PI mark is awarded at '43%' (**1 PI mark**) for the comparison 'nearly twice' with data to back this up.

Page 4

An AN mark is awarded at 'great care' (**1 AN mark**) for an explanation of why housekeeping is better, linked to home ownership.

A PI mark is awarded at 'scored 5' (**1 PI mark**) for the comparison of car parking backed up with data. (There is the potential for the candidate to have developed this further for more gathering technique credit as the candidate has used a systematic approach to the environmental quality survey, but has only given an example and not developed this approach.) An AN mark is awarded at 'walk long distances' (**1 AN mark**) - although health has been mentioned before, it is used differently here. An AN mark is awarded at 'qualities such as parking spaces' (**1 AN mark**) for further explanation of traffic linked to car ownership.

Page 5

An AN mark is awarded at 'want more back' (**1 AN mark**) as the candidate has linked lower traffic to driveways.

A PI mark is awarded at 'none around' (**1 PI mark**) for a similarity within the data - similarities can be credited as well as differences.

The candidate has now reached the maximum number of 6 marks available for PI however, further marks could have been awarded and these are included to illustrate further relevant PI points.

An AN mark is awarded at 'already know about' (**1 AN mark**) as the candidate is making an attempt to explain the lack of billboards.

A PI mark could have been awarded at 'scored 9' (1 PI mark) for a comparison of greenery backed up with data. An AN mark is awarded at 'the land for them' (**1 AN mark**) for an explanation of the landscaping.

Page 6

An AN mark is awarded at 'important issues' (**1 AN mark**) for a different explanation of the score in Dalneigh.

The candidate has now reached the maximum number of 8 marks available for AN however, further marks could have been awarded and these are included to illustrate further relevant AN points.

A PI mark could have been awarded at 'MOL scored 9' (1 PI mark) for a comparison of walls and fences backed up with data.

An AN mark could have been awarded at 'fences maintained' (1 AN mark) for making the link to deprivation. A PI mark could have been awarded at 'Dalneigh are not deprived' (1 PI mark) for a comparison (more households on line 7 of this paragraph) backed up with data.

A mark is awarded for conclusions at 'as well as in MOL' (**1 C mark**).

Page 7

No further credit is awarded because the last few lines are new information but not detailed enough to be awarded a knowledge mark.

Communicating information - 1 mark is awarded for structure and 1 mark is awarded for appropriate terminology (**2 marks**).

Total marks awarded – 25

Candidate 2**Why are Singapore and Chad at different levels of development?****Page 1**

The term 'highest' is underlined as this should be lowest HDI.

The first KU mark is awarded at 'main exports' (**1 KU mark**) for a developed point on the background to Chad's development. There are no further marks awarded in this paragraph as it is a little vague and the points are better expressed later in the study.

The second mark for KU is awarded at 'Rostow's model' (**1 KU mark**) for background information on Singapore. A further KU mark is awarded at the end of the paragraph at 'manufactured products' (**1 KU mark**) for further relevant information on Singapore's development.

A KU mark is awarded for each developed point on Rostow's model as this is all relevant recall. A further KU mark is awarded at 'bartering economy' (**1 KU mark**) for stage 1. A KU mark is awarded at 'industries beginning' (**1 KU mark**) for stage 2, and a further KU mark is awarded at 'international investment' (**1 KU mark**) for stage 3.

The candidate has now reached the maximum number of 6 marks available for KU however, further marks could have been awarded and these are included to illustrate further relevant KU points.

Page 2

A KU mark could have been awarded at 'healthcare and education' (1 KU mark) for stage 4, and a KU mark could have been awarded at 'high disposable income' (1 KU mark) for stage 5.

A mark is awarded for the first gathering technique at 'tailored to my level' (**1 T1 mark**) for the use of a book at an appropriate level. A second gathering techniques mark is awarded at 'might be outdated' (**1 T1 mark**) for an evaluation of this technique.

A mark is awarded for the second gathering technique (T2) at 'reliable credentials' (**1 T2 mark**) as this has developed the point of using a government website. A further T2 mark is awarded at 'and specific data' (**1 T2 mark**) for an evaluation of this source. An R (for repeat/reversal) is placed at 'constantly updated' as this is a reversal of the evaluation given for books. A final mark for gathering techniques is awarded at the end of the paragraph at 'what you are looking for' (**1 T2 mark**) for the evaluation of having to sift through information on the internet.

Page 3

A wavy underline is placed at 'southern hemisphere' as this is not accurate.

An L (lift) is placed at '26°C' as this figure is on the PI sheet. A mark for processed information (PI) is awarded at 200mm (**1 PI mark**) for 'steady climate' and average rainfall of Singapore.

An analysis mark is awarded at 'bringing in money' (**1 AN mark**) for linking ports and trade between countries using the location map.

An analysis mark is awarded at 'holds back development' (**1 AN mark**) for developing the factor of a landlocked location. This is an example of a mark that could be KU or AN, but AN is awarded as KU has now been reached.

A PI mark is awarded at 'no rain' (**1 PI mark**) linking to the point on extreme drought in the line above.

An AN mark is awarded at 'impact on exports' (**1 AN mark**) for explaining the impact of the climate on development.

A PI mark is awarded at '34°C' linked to the description of 'really hot' (**1 PI mark**) in the statement above. A carat is placed at 'damage crops' as this could be awarded a mark with further development however, an AN mark is awarded at 'infrastructure' (**1 AN mark**) for linking climate (flooding) to infrastructure and development.

A knowledge mark could have been awarded at 'difficult to develop' (1 KU mark) as this is background knowledge of Singapore's resources on development. A repeat point is made at 'resources to develop' as this has already A carat is placed at 'all urbanised' because although this is true, the candidate hasn't linked it to ease of distribution.

A PI mark is awarded at '75%' (**1 PI mark**) for the comparison of clean water data from the PI sheets. An AN mark is awarded at 'but mainly agriculture' (**1 AN mark**) for linking findings to the model.

A PI mark is awarded at '52%' (**1 PI mark**) for the comparison of employment structure, and an AN mark is awarded at 'mainly agriculture' (**1 AN mark**) as the candidate is comparing their own findings to the model.

A carat is placed at 'stable economy' as this has not been developed.

Page 4

Following on from the point above, a KU mark could have been awarded at the end of the paragraph at 'making development harder' (1 KU mark).

A further KU mark could have been awarded at 'racial conflict' (1 KU mark) for background information on Chad. A mark for processed information is given at 'Eastern Chad' (1 PI mark) for extracting information from diagram 10 and, an analysis mark is awarded at 'providing food and shelter' (**1 AN mark**) as the candidate has taken information from the PI sheet and analysed it. There is no further credit awarded in this paragraph as the information is a reversal of the previous points made.

A KU mark could have been awarded at 'relevant today' (1 KU mark) for further information/evaluation on Rostow's Model, and a further KU could be awarded for explanation of top-down model at 'to ensure development' (1 KU mark).

A KU mark could be awarded at end of sentence at 'less corrupt' (1 KU mark) for the understanding of bottom-up development; this is not a straight reversal.

A further KU mark could have been awarded at 'disparities in the world' (1 KU mark) as this is correct for Singapore.

The first conclusion mark is awarded for the conclusion on Singapore at 'stage 5' (**1 C mark**), and a second is awarded at 'stage 2' (**1 C mark**) for a conclusion on Chad.

Communicating information - 1 mark is awarded for structure and 1 mark is awarded for appropriate terminology (**2 marks**).

Total marks awarded – 27