

# Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for each question of this course assessment component.

## Question 1(a)

The candidate was awarded **6 out of 10 marks** because they identified three key features: colour, shape and texture (**3 marks**) and provided three discussion points (**3 marks**). The discussion points clearly linked the inspiration from the images to the item, allowing the design to be visualised. The candidate also provided a rationale and explained the intended impact on the item.

The following points were awarded **0 marks**:

- 'vibrant yellow' – the impact is vague and the candidate has not clearly explained why they chose that particular colour for the raincoat.
- 'curved shape' – the candidate did not provide enough detail on the impact or benefit of incorporating the peter pan collar.
- 'cube shape' – the explanation is not linked to the benefits of a square neckline.
- 'create leather pants' – the explanation is not linked to the smooth texture.

## Question 1(b)

The candidate was awarded **0 marks out of 2 marks** because their response was vague and did not provide accurate information linked to sustainability.

## Question 1(c)

The candidate was awarded **2 marks out of 3 marks**.

The candidate was awarded **1 mark** for 'wide audience' and **1 mark** for discussing the connections with the brand. No marks were awarded for 'relatively cheap' because the consequence was incorrect and not linked to the fact of the evaluation.

## Question 2

The candidate was awarded **10 marks out of 10 marks** because they provided factual information on the fabric property and construction techniques, they also analysed the fabric correctly and explained the impact on the wearer.

The omission of the specific zip type resulted in an inaccurate statement and lack of clarity concerning its intended function.

## Question 3(a)

The candidate was awarded **2 marks out of 3 marks** because they described two methods: applique and fabric paint.

No marks were awarded for 'embroidery' because the candidate referred to the thread as 'string' and the description lacked detail.

## Question 3(b)

The candidate was awarded **2 marks out of 3 marks** because their evaluation was linked to the bespoke production of the backpack.

No marks were awarded for 'one off item' as the consequence was vague and not linked to the fact of the evaluation.

## Question 3(c)

The candidate was awarded **4 marks out of 4 marks** because they identified and explained two principles of design: function and safety.

## Question 4(a)

The candidate was awarded **4 marks out of 4 marks** because they identified and explained two stages in the development process: concept screening and concept generation.

## Question 4(b)

The candidate was awarded **3 marks out of 3 marks** because they provided three evaluative responses which were linked to bonded fabric and the wetsuit.

## Question 4(c)

The candidate was awarded **3 marks out of 3 marks** because they accurately described three benefits for the consumer/wetsuit.