

Commentary on Care Higher Project Candidate 1 Evidence

The candidate evidence used in this workshop has achieved the following marks for each section of the Coursework Assessment task.

Section A

The candidate was awarded **12 marks** because they gave a clear explanation of three needs and these were related clearly to the brief throughout.

Section B

The candidate was awarded **8 marks** because they analysed two clear aspects of development and related them to the brief. (4 marks for social development and 4 marks for cultural development). To achieve full marks the candidate would have had to have given a more detailed analysis.

Section C

The candidate was awarded **16 marks** because there was clear evaluation of three psychological theories in relation to the brief. The candidate was awarded maximum of 6 marks in this section for demonstrating theoretical knowledge (2 marks for each theory). They were awarded a further 10 marks for their evaluation of these theories in relation to the chosen brief.

Section D

The candidate was awarded **13 marks** because they gave an analysis of peer group, family and the media, and related these to the brief. For full marks the candidate would have had to provide a more detailed analysis of the media.

Section E

The candidate was awarded **13 marks** because they explained three sociological theories in relation to their chosen brief. They were awarded the maximum of 6 marks for theoretical knowledge and a further 7 marks for applying theories to their chosen brief. To do this, the candidate has used a range of examples of individuals using care services in this section.

Section F

The candidate was awarded **16 marks** because they evaluated the features of positive care practice in three relevant care services. They also related these to their chosen brief. The candidate used a range of features of positive care practice including care planning, service user involvement, inspection, codes of conduct, registration, training and anti-discriminatory practice.

Section G

The candidate was awarded **1 mark** because their points of conclusion were not related to the question in the chosen brief.

Section H

The candidate was awarded **5 marks** because five pieces of referenced information were presented in a clear and appropriate way.

Total 84 marks