Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for each task of this course assignment.

Candidate 1

Communications – Presentation

The candidate was awarded 6/7 marks.

The candidate had not included slide 2 in the handout printout.

Communications - E-mail

The candidate was awarded 2/3 marks.

The candidate printed a screen shot of the e-mail rather than printing the e-mail.

Database - Form

The candidate was awarded 4/5 marks.

The candidate's use of "s' in the heading meant the presentation mark was not awarded.

Word processing – Programme PAGE 1

The candidate was awarded 2/4 marks.

The candidate did not include full details regarding the show and incorrectly spelt 'Bridie' as 'birdie'.

The footer is the wrong one.

PAGE 2

The candidate was awarded 7/9 marks.

The candidate placed the footnote marker in the wrong place.

There are errors in the first sentence of the keyed-in section.

PAGE 3

The candidate was awarded 2/2 marks.

(The presentation mark for the document was not awarded because the main headings on this page do not have consistent alignment.)

PAGE 4

The candidate was awarded 3/4 marks.

The presentation mark was not awarded because the main headings on page 3 do not have consistent alignment.

Spreadsheet - Pivot Table

The candidate was awarded 4/4 marks.

Spreadsheet – Membership details

The candidate was awarded 5/7 marks.

The candidate did not use an absolute cell reference in the fees column.

(The candidate used an if statement which is correct, rather than a vlookup.)

Database - Aggregate query

The candidate was awarded 6/6 marks.

Spreadsheet - Income and expenditure

The candidate was awarded 2/8 marks.

The orchestra amount was keyed-in rather than creating a formula.

The candidate multiplied by 0.2 instead of 1.2 to calculate the VAT amount.

The candidate keyed-in amounts in what should have been an hlookup however, was awarded 1 mark for multiplying by the cell with the profit (C24).

Database - Report

The candidate was awarded 9/11 marks.

The candidate did not gain the mark for the heading because capitalisation is incorrect.

The candidate did not gain the mark for the AN* criteria in the query.

Total marks awarded 52/70

Candidate 2

Communications – Presentation

The candidate was awarded 7/7 marks.

(The logo on the full-size slide was ignored as only marking the warm-ups.)

Communications – E-mail

The candidate was awarded 3/3 marks.

Database – Form

The candidate was awarded 4/5 marks.

The logo mark was not awarded.

(Accepted 'costumes' as it was beside the sub-form.)

Word processing – Programme PAGE 1

The candidate was awarded 3/4 marks.

The candidate did not include the date and location of the show.

PAGE 2

The candidate was awarded 8/9 marks.

The candidate missed a comma in the footnote.

PAGE 3

The candidate was awarded 2/2 marks.

PAGE 4

The candidate was awarded 3/4 marks.

The candidate did not include the 'draft' watermark on the last page.

Spreadsheet – Pivot table

The candidate was awarded 3/4 marks.

The candidate did not amend the headings appropriately.

Spreadsheet - Membership details

The candidate was awarded 6/7 marks.

The candidate did not omit the columns as instructed.

Database - Aggregate query

The candidate was awarded 0/6 marks.

The candidate did not amend the headings.

The candidate did not group as instructed.

The candidate did not carry out either of the calculations.

Spreadsheet - Income and expenditure

The candidate was awarded 7/8 marks.

The candidate multiplied by 0.2 instead of 1.2 to calculate the VAT amount.

Database - Report

The candidate was awarded 9/11 marks.

The candidate did not gain the mark for the logo.

The candidate did not widen the column with the fee in it – data truncated.

Total marks awarded 55/70