

Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for each question of this question paper.

Candidate 1

Question 1(a)

The candidate was awarded **10 out of 10 marks** because they identified three key features: colour, shape, texture (**3 marks**). The discussion points clearly linked the inspiration from the images to the item, allowing the design to be visualised. The candidate also provided a rationale and explained the intended impact on the item (**7 marks**).

Please note: the following responses 'orangy-coral coloured long sleeve top' and 'puff sleeves' have been awarded a mark. However, these are very minimal responses.

Question 1(b)

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 3 marks**.

- 'large scale' and 'low quality morale' gained marks as they were evaluative responses.
- 'cheaper' did not gain a mark as the consequence given for this response was too vague.

Question 1(c)

The candidate was awarded **1 out of 2 marks**.

0 marks were awarded for concept screening because it was not fully explained and **1 mark** was awarded for the explanation of prototype production.

Question 2

The candidate was awarded **7 out of 10 marks**.

The following responses were all linked to the jacket / cheerleader. They provided factual information on the fabric property/construction technique, analysing the impact of these features on the wearer.

The candidate was awarded 7 marks for responses regarding 'elasticity', 'Strength', 'durability', 'raglan sleeves', 'Press studs/snap fastenings', 'jettted pockets' and 'cuff collar'.

'breathability' and 'warmth' did not gain a mark. The responses showed an inaccurate understanding of the fabric property linked to the needs of the cheerleader.

Question 3(a)

The candidate was awarded **4 out of 4 marks** because they identified past trends such as 'full skirt from the 1950's' / Polka dots from the 1980's' (**2 marks**) and explained how these trends were used within the design (**2 marks**).

Question 3(b)

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 3 marks** because they provided an explanation linked to an environmental impact.

Please note: 'environmentally friendly' was not fully explained and only provided a fact therefore **0 marks** awarded.

Question 3(c)

The candidate was awarded **3 out of 3 marks** because they demonstrated a clear understanding of the importance of each commercial pattern feature.

Question 4(a)

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 4 marks** because they identified one correct surface decoration 'appliqué' and clearly explained why it would be suitable for a sports top.

No further marks were awarded for screen printing as this is not a suitable surface decoration.

Question 4(b)

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 2 marks** because they provided explanations on the benefits of each of the two fabric finishes for the football top.

Question 4(c)

The candidate was awarded **4 out of 4 marks** because they identified two principles of design 'durability' and 'function' and provided explanations for each of them.

Please note: the candidate would have received a mark for 'Aesthetics'. However, the maximum number of marks had already been awarded.