

Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for each stage of this assignment.

Stage 1: design

Themes

The candidate was awarded **2 marks out of 2 marks** because they identified two themes '1960s' and 'Teenagers' and provided explanations for both which were relevant to the brief.

Investigations

The candidate was awarded **7 marks out of 18 marks**.

Investigation 1

The candidate was awarded **3 marks** because they provided information on the investigative technique used (questionnaire with a named source). They gave an accurate explanation of the investigation and provided one progressive summary point. The additional summary points did not gain marks, as they were factually inaccurate, lacked progression, and merely repeated the findings.

Investigation 2

The candidate was awarded **2 marks** because they provided information on the investigative technique used (internet) and gave an accurate explanation of the investigation. However, the summary points did not gain marks as they were inaccurate and the data has not been interpreted correctly.

Investigation 3

The candidate was awarded **1 mark** because they identified the type of investigative technique (interview); however, they did not identify the expert they intended to interview.

The explanation of the investigation was unclear. **1 mark** was awarded for the summary point regarding the pocket as this was taken from the evidence however no further marks were awarded for the remaining summary points as they were statements and did not provide any progression.

Solution

The candidate was awarded **3 marks out of 18 marks**.

Present the solution

The candidate was awarded **1 mark** because they presented a solution which lacked detail and although it could be visualised, it would be difficult to recreate. The following areas were omitted: type of seams, hem, whether the belt was attached or detachable, embroidery stitch, shape (it does not look loose fitting in the image) and the pattern on binding is not clear.

Justify the features of the solution

The candidate was awarded **0 marks** for their justifications of the design features.

Point 1: the candidate did not clearly explain the impact the flower will have on the dress (**0 marks**).

Point 2: the point has not been fully justified (**0 marks**).

Point 3: the information provided is vague and has not been justified correctly (**0 marks**).

Point 4: the information provided is valid and accurate however the candidate did not go on to give a justification (**0 marks**).

Point 5: the information given in this justification is inaccurate because belts did not feature in the majority of the images in investigation 2 **(0 marks)**.

The candidate provided three accurate justifications for the properties and characteristics of the textiles, effectively linking them to evidence from their investigations and clearly explaining their significance in meeting the design brief **(3 marks)**.

No marks were awarded for 'breathable' and 'crease resistance' because the candidate has already discussed ease of care in their justification.

The candidate did not provide any accurate justifications for their construction techniques therefore **0 marks** were awarded.

Point 1: the justification for the zip is a repeat of the fact **(0 marks)**.

Point 2: the usefulness of the side seam pocket was not fully explained **(0 marks)**.

Point 3: the justification was not fully explained and there is no mention of bias binding or enclosing raw edges **(0 marks)**.

Point 4: the justification is vague and lacks detail regarding the purpose of the pleats **(0 marks)**.

Stage 2: evaluation

Testing

The candidate was awarded **3 marks out of 3 marks** because they provided their techniques (sensory test/teenagers). The test was well laid out and easy to interpret and had ratings which made the test valid.

Evaluation

The candidate was awarded **2 marks out of 3 marks**.

Evaluations 1 and 2 did not gain marks as there was no impact/consequence.

Evaluations 3 and 4 gained **2 marks** as they provided a fact, opinion/judgement and consequence/impact.

Amending the solution

The candidate was awarded **0 marks out of 2 marks**.

Amendment and justification 1: embroidery

The candidate provided an amendment (additional colours) however the amendment was a personal choice and not from the evidence or further investigations therefore **0 marks** were awarded.

Amendment and justification 2: embellishments

The candidate provided an amendment (additional embellishments) however the amendment was a personal choice and not from the evidence or further investigations therefore **0 marks** were awarded.