

# Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for each question of this question paper.

## Candidate 2

### Section 1 – human development and behaviour

#### Question 1

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 2 marks** because they have explained how care workers are able to adapt to change. They have then explained it can give care workers better understanding of different backgrounds.

#### Question 2(a)

The candidate was awarded **0 out of 2 marks** because the response provided does not meet the requirements of the marking instructions.

#### Question 2(b)

The candidate was awarded **0 out of 4 marks** because the response provided does not meet the requirements of the marking instructions.

#### Question 3

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 4 marks**. 1 mark was awarded for each key feature which has been described:

- Self actualisation key feature: 'it is an understanding of yourself that contributes to how we develop and our behaviours.'

- Self worth key feature: 'and what we accept into our lives, for example relationships.'

## Section 2 – social influences

### Question 4

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 2 marks** for 'They shape who we are today influencing our development and behaviours' and 'good or bad we tend to copy or mimic there behaviours.' The rest of this answer is a repetition of the knowledge shown above so no further marks are awarded.

### Question 5

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 4 marks** because they showed knowledge of power: 'how they say men are more powerful than women and how it effect females.' The candidate has shown understanding of discrimination in the workplace: 'woman are payed less than men.' The candidate does not then expand on these points, so no further marks are awarded.

### Question 6

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 4 marks** because they have identified that 'work can be tiring or stressful can have an effect on someones mental health.' The candidate then developed this point by saying 'and get them the right support they need.' The candidate has not provided an explicit explanation of work as a social influence, nor have they fully developed the points which have been made, therefore further marks have not been awarded.

### Question 7

The candidate was awarded **0 out of 2 marks** because the response provided does not meet the requirements of the marking instructions.

## Section 3 – values and principles

### Question 8

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 2 marks** because they have provided an accurate explanation of supervision of a client ‘and that the client is safe if they are at home or in a care setting’ and ‘or if any changes within the clients routine are needed.’ This answer is a different interpretation of what was intended. It was expected that candidates would discuss supervision as a part of care workers professional development, however this candidate has accurately discussed supervising/monitoring clients in a care setting, therefore full marks have been awarded for this answer.

### Question 9(a)

The candidate was awarded **4 out of 4 marks** because they correctly identified stages of the care planning process. The candidate has provided an accurate and detailed description of the ‘Assessment’ and ‘Review’ stage, and valid points of explanation demonstrating accurate knowledge of how each stage is used as part of the care planning process.

### Question 9(b)

The candidate was awarded **3 out of 3 marks** because the response provides an accurate explanation of the review stage contributing to positive care practice. Points of explanation are ‘keeps good communication between care workers and the client’, ‘care plan is kept updated’ and ‘family to know their family are being cared for correctly.’

### Question 10

The candidate was awarded **2 out of 4 marks** because they have identified relevant legislation – Equality Act (2010). The candidate has identified the protected characteristics and linked the legislation to making care settings safe for individuals

in care settings. As no further explanation or developed points have been made, no further marks have been awarded.

## Question 11

The candidate was awarded **1 out of 3 marks** because they misinterpreted the question and have discussed disciplinary procedures. 1 mark was awarded as the candidate has referred to staff working together to avoid conflict and providing a safe working environment. However, no further marks could be awarded for discussions of disciplinaries in the workplace.

**Total marks: 22 out of 40**