

## Candidate 2 evidence

### 3. Source Question.

3(a) The teleological argument is based on the design of the universe. Our universe is very complex and has lots of difficult processes that work well. Because these designs work as well as they do, it caused the thought that this can't be happened so by chance. It was carefully structured and designed by a being with lots of knowledge which could only be <sup>said to be god.</sup> of god. For example - William Paley (a philosopher) argued this topic using a watch. A watch has lots of different complex parts that are put together ~~in order~~ to create an even more complex item. This could be used

in terms of the universe. There is many different complex ~~be~~ things that ~~bound~~<sup>bound</sup> together to create an even more complex being, the universe. These complex matters couldn't of happened by chance there needs to be a designer. For example, you can't just throw marbles into a straight line. Someone needs to place these marbles justifying the need for a designer.

3(b) "But the design argument still lives" This means this argument of the ~~need~~<sup>universe</sup> needing a designer is still relevant in modern times even with the advanced technology. Everything created in the universe therefore the universe needs a designer itself. or it could be a random occurrence.

ENTER  
NUMBER  
OF  
QUESTION

3b

o "precise structure of laws"

This means that the universe has lots of theories and laws that make up the reasons why these designs were and without these being so precise, the designs may fail.

o "uniquely fitted" This means the laws surrounding the universe seem very suited to be linked with evolution. It further powers their stance that matters such as evolution have these laws to show how they were designed and gradually adapted over time rather than the design popping out of nowhere.

o "produce life by a process of evolution is highly improbable"  
This means that evolution being used as evidence to how

our ~~NA~~ <sup>human</sup> growth over time is a key design with little to no flaws.

o "The existence of a designer or a creator God makes this much less improbable" This means that by putting god as the creator it raises more questions and problems to how human life truly began and has grown to the complexity we are now.

3c) "But the design argument still lives" - I think that keeping this relevant shows how there is still so much questions to be answered and that the designers are clear but its unsure whether its a designer said to be god or <sup>science</sup> ~~proven~~ <sub>wrong</sub>.

◦ "Precise structure of laws"

3c - I think this is a suitable argument as we do have the answers to why the designs work but if these laws are evident, work and we know their existence what is the need for the designer? Science proves that it is the designer.

◦ "uniquely fitted"