

# Commentary on candidate evidence

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for each question of this course assessment component.

## Candidate 1

### To what extent do you agree with scientific responses to the teleological argument?

The candidate was awarded **28 marks** because this essay demonstrated excellent knowledge and understanding as well as analysis skills. They were used consistently throughout, they were in depth and clearly showed an understanding of the topic. Evaluation was excellent, but it was felt that it wasn't quite a 10 as it wasn't throughout the essay in enough depth as well as referring back to the question. However, an outstanding essay.

Knowledge and understanding **10 marks**

Analysis **10 marks**

Evaluation **8 marks**

## Candidate 2

### How valid are psychological accounts of religious experience?

The candidate was awarded **27 marks** because the candidate does very well to stay on track with the question and not deviate. Slightly lower with evaluation but felt it was a very good attempt overall.

Knowledge and understanding **10 marks**

Analysis **10 marks**

Evaluation **7 marks**

## Candidate 3

### How valid are religious responses to assisted dying?

The candidate was awarded **21 marks** because under exam conditions this is a typical lower A, high B essay. Where the candidate does enough to score decent marks across all skills elements of the essay. KU is good throughout and analysis is present throughout but lacking in depth across the essay. Evaluation is a decent attempt to focus on the question and contribute to addressing the validity of religious responses.

Knowledge and understanding **9 marks**  
Analysis **7 marks**  
Evaluation **5 marks**