

Commentary on candidate 6 evidence (Field 6)

Title: To what extent did economic collapse allow the rise of the Nazis by 1933?

Word Count: 4398

Mark Awarded: 34 /50

Grade	Criteria	Comments
B	Abstract/introduction Clarity of structure, areas, issues and line of argument	This comment is about the introduction without the inclusion of the abstract here. Relevant functional introduction with main interpretations. Some prioritisation of factors but no real line of argument.
B	Structure – conclusion(s)	Overall judgement brings views together but does not always synthesise. The structure is readily apparent with a competent presentation of the issues.
B	Degree of THOROUGHNESS (depth and breadth)	Some good details, but large sections of narrative in each factor. Treatment of the issue shows an awareness of the width and depth of the knowledge required for a study of the issue. First chapter on 1928-1933 has large sections on issues in 1923.
A	Degree of relevance	Focused on the issue and clearly linked to points on analysis which the candidate made. There is a firm grasp of the evaluative aims of the question and an assured and consistent control of the arguments and issues.
B	Degree of ANALYSIS and EVALUATION	Sound awareness of the evaluative aims of the question and a sustained analysis. Good level of knowledge as noted above. The analysis is fairly sustained. However, the evaluation is weaker.
C	Consideration of Historical sources /interpretations	An awareness of historians' interpretations and arguments. Historians have been used as illustrative points of the main lines of interpretation. Historians' arguments have not been used as debate points.
C	Consideration of primary evidence	There is clear reference to at least one primary source linked to the issue. No real engagement with primary sources until page 11.
B	Footnoting and Bibliography	The sources have been accurately referenced using one standard referencing system. However, the overall bibliography is somewhat limited.

Grade	Criteria	Comments
B	Overall accuracy of approach	Generally good organisation and well written – but rigid in structure. Labours the point at times and sacrifices analysis and evaluation in favour of more factual content. The dissertation is not on the list but is a good issue to debate.