

# Commentaries on candidate evidence

The evidence for the candidates within this pack has achieved the following marks for this element of course assessment.

## Candidate 1

### Learning

**Fremdsprachen sollten für alle Pflicht sein.**

The candidate was awarded **20 marks** because....

### Content

This is an uneven performance. The essay displays a basic sense of structure, with attempts to have some relevance to the title.

### Accuracy

The topic is addressed with content which is mostly clear, especially to a sympathetic speaker of the language. In places there are a number of errors in the candidate's language and we can say that in general spelling tends towards the weak side. However, it must be noted that the errors throughout do not fully detract from communication.

### Language resource

On the positive side the candidate does at times display a more confident handling of the language. The candidate does convey ideas and opinions, although usually in a rather pedestrian manner and with a degree of repetition, using limited vocabulary.

## Candidate 2

### Culture

**Durch Literatur und Filme kann man eine andere Kultur kennenlernen.**

The candidate was awarded **40 marks** because.....

### Content

This is a competent, well thought-out essay. This essay is well structured. The topic is addressed fully from a personal standpoint, giving a highly meaningful response which is relevant to the title.

### Accuracy

The language is accurate to a high degree. Although not perfect, the use of language clearly demonstrates some flair and the candidate displays a confidence in handling the language. There are some errors but these do not detract from the overall very good impression.

### **Structure**

The language flows as the candidate expresses opinions and ideas wholly relevant to the topic. There is a wide range of vocabulary and good use is made of word order. The essay is to the higher end of the word count but there is no problem with that.

### **Candidate 3**

#### **Learning**

**Fremdsprachen sollten für alle Pflicht sein.**

The candidate was awarded **24 marks** because....

#### **Content**

In this essay we see some sense of structure and most aspects have some relevance to the title. The topic is addressed adequately and the content is mostly clear.

#### **Accuracy**

Verbs are generally accurate but there are errors in other parts of speech. There are some structures appropriate to Advanced Higher and some successful subordinate clauses. Spelling and punctuation are largely correct. Overall there is more correct than incorrect.

#### **Language resource**

The candidate manages to convey ideas and opinions, although there may be some dictionary misuse. The range of language is reasonable.

### **Candidate 4**

**Society****Kernkraft hat keine Zukunft.**

The candidate was awarded **8 marks** because.....

**Content**

The topic is not fully addressed and the content is very limited, with a vague attempt to be relevant. The essay lacks structure.

**Accuracy**

There are frequent errors in most parts of speech. Basic spellings are often inaccurate. Verbs are incorrectly used and control of the language is at times questionable. Overall there is more incorrect than correct.

**Language resource**

This is an essay which makes little use of complex and sophisticated language. Indeed, it could be described as having a limited range of vocabulary and structures appropriate to Advanced Higher.

In a number of the more serious errors other language interference may be playing a part.