



Commentary on Candidate Evidence

Statistics (Advanced Higher)

Question 3(a) (4 marks)

Last year it was claimed that pianists were awarded lower marks than violinists for their performance in the exams offered by a particular Music Exam Board.

- (a) (i) Give a reason why obtaining a sample of such exam marks might not be possible.
- (ii) Assuming that this problem has been overcome, you are asked to obtain a random sample of around 15% of pianists from the 20 centres in which the Exam Board operates. Outline how you would obtain such a sample, given that there is a strict budget which means that you must keep travelling expenses to an absolute minimum.

Marking Instruction		
Question	Generic scheme	Illustrative scheme
3 (a) (i)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •¹ appropriate reason 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •¹ the Board may not be willing to release such confidential information
(ii)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •² appropriate sampling method •³ appropriate strategy •⁴ continue method 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •² cluster sampling •³ chose, say, 3 centres at random •⁴ use all the data from the 3 centres

Candidates were expected to:

1. comment on the likely confidentiality of the process
2. state the type of random sampling chosen to address the issue of minimum travel (not no travel eg email)
3. consider the c.15% requirement
4. briefly describe the sampling procedure

Candidate 1 and 2

The following two candidates were each awarded 4 marks for covering the 4 aspects of the desired response.

Candidate 1

3 (a)(i) Exam marks are private information, meaning that you would not necessarily be able to obtain them, as those examined may not give their consent.

(ii) ~~Alternatively at schools~~

(ii) Use cluster sampling to sort the pianists by centre, * then use a random number generator on a computer to select 3 of the centres. Interviewing all of the pianists at each centre would give 15% of the population.
 $\frac{3}{20} = 0.15$. This would mean that travelling costs would be kept to an absolute minimum, while still getting the desired sample size.

* label the centres each with their own number

Candidate 2

3. 4) i) They may be kept secret & not
allowed access to them

ii) There are already a clear 20 clusters made
(the centres) so use cluster sampling to
keep expenses to a minimum, stratified
would increase the cost. Take a random
sample of 3 of the 20 centres (15%)
and sample all the pupils in each
chosen centre.

Candidate 3, 4 and 5

The following candidates were each awarded 3 marks.

Candidate 3

This candidate missed the idea of sampling every pianist from 3 randomly chosen centres – it is not perhaps realistic to hope that one centre would provide 15% of the pianists.

- 3a) The people taking the exams may not be willing to ~~write~~ disclose their marks
- ii) Because ^{disclose} travelling expenses must be minimised, cluster sampling is the most convenient method. A number from 1-20 would be assigned to each centre and, using a random number generator, a centre would be selected at random. The number of pianists corresponding to 15% would then be calculated and then that number would be sampled from the centre. If the numbers from the centre are insufficient, another centre is randomly chosen and ~~more~~ ^{more} pianists sampled until 15% have been sampled.

Candidate 4

This candidate's method of sampling is unusual but reasonable and the only flaw is travelling to 5 centres when 3 would probably do, and this does not wholly address the issue of minimal travelling.

- ③ a) i) The exam board may keep them Confidential
- ii) Each centre would be numbered 1-20 and a random number generator used to select 5 centres so as to reduce travelling. ~~a random systematic~~
A ~~sys~~ random sample of 60% of pianists from each centre would then be taken to provide the roughly 15% assuming each centre has the same number of pianists.

Candidate 5

This candidate response could only have been awarded 2 marks due to the slight lack of detail, but the cost of the travelling aspect has certainly been addressed and 'cluster' is up front and so 3 marks were awarded.

3.

a) i) They could be confidential which would mean every musician would have to be tracked down to ask if their grade could be used in a sample.

ii) You could do a cluster sample by randomly selecting some of the centres ~~which~~ which should represent the population from all the centres and then obtain the 15% from those. This means not all centres need to be travelled to reducing expenses. Also not all units have to be listed just the original 20 centres then people within those centres ~~the~~ ^{selected} reduces administration & time costs.

Candidate 6, 7 and 8

The following candidates were each awarded 2 marks.

Candidate 6

This candidate has made no mention of 'cluster' and it is not clear why 5 centres were chosen when 3 might be enough. The gathering of 15% is not very clear.

3' (a) (i) ~~the~~ individual exam marks are confidential,
~~not released~~

(ii) As sampling 15% of points from each centre ~~will~~ would be too expensive, instead each centre is numbered from 1-20, ~~and~~ 5 of which are chosen at random. These 5 centres undergo simple random sampling, each ~~the~~ ~~sample~~ sample is a ~~of~~ similar proportion of the 15% as the centre's ~~total~~ total points as a ~~part~~ proportion of the total of all 5 centres, ~~this is~~ giving an accurate representation of the ~~random~~ 5 centres in the sample.

Candidate 7

The issues of confidentiality and randomness have not been addressed adequately by this candidate. What 'schools'?

3.a)(i) • it may not be possible to get a full list of the whole population exam marks.

(ii) 15% of pianists from 20 centres

• in order to keep travelling expenses to a minimum, once the list has been obtained the population is split into clusters. and 15% of 20 is 3. so three schools will be visited and every member of the cluster (so every pianist and videowist) who took part in the exam) would be studied.

• This minimises travel expenses but may not provide an effective estimation of population parameters.

Candidate 8

The issues of confidentiality and randomness have not been addressed adequately by this candidate.

3a)i) A reason for not obtaining a sample is that a list of exam results might not be easy to come by. It would take a lot of time & effort.

ii) $0.15 \times 20 = 3$

If travelling expenses need to be kept low then if a 15% sample of the population was needed to be taken then you could just sample 3 centres fully. Sample all results from 3 centres close together which would reduce travel costs. All pianists in those 3 centres would be part of the sample.

Candidate 9, 10 and 11

The following candidates were each awarded 0 or 1 mark.

Candidate 9

This candidate response is lacking in clarity – what is meant by ‘the number’? What ‘schools’? There is also no mention of randomness or the reason for 3. The candidate was therefore awarded 1 mark.

i)	As some pianists may have done better ^{better} than violinists due to interest ^{max skill} , whilst some violinists may have done worse than pianists. 15%.
ii)	Use cluster sampling, select 3 schools and sample <u>the number</u> of pianists within these clusters, this should give a proportionate sample of exam results to the other schools, representative and hence the overall result.

Candidate 10

It is difficult to award this candidate response any marks and so 0 marks were awarded.

3.) a) (i) A list detailing ~~with~~ the scores of all candidates (pianists & violinists) must be available

(ii) Obtain lists of the candidate's ~~results~~ performances from the exam ~~institutions~~ centres & assign a ~~number~~ unique value to each candidate.

Calculate the 15% of the population size then using a random number generator obtain the required amount of values.

Candidates who's corresponding value was generated will be sampled.

Candidate 11

This candidate response is vague, which suggests that the candidate has no coherent idea about random sampling and has taken a guess at cluster sampling. It is difficult to see the relevance of giving pianists a number from 1 – 2. The candidate was therefore awarded 0 marks.

3.) a) i) Because they are not the same instrument and can be treated in a very different way

ii) you would use cluster sampling, so you would take a random sample from each centre ~~and then randomly choose 1 of the 20 centres to collect data from~~ and then randomly choose 1 of the 20 centres to collect data from, from the people in that centre, give each pianist a number from 1-2 and randomly select 15% of numbers.