



RMPS (Advanced Higher): Dissertation

Commentary on candidate evidence

Commentary on candidate evidence

Candidate 1

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for the project-dissertation.

To what extent can it be argued that religious experience is the best evidence for the existence of God?

The candidate was awarded **47 marks** because it is an outstanding dissertation covering the key skills required to be successful. The aims are clearly explained, and the candidates achieves these. Throughout the dissertation, the knowledge and understanding is consistently relevant, accurate and in-depth, and supported by an abundance of strong research and sources, which are referenced clearly throughout. **(20 marks)** The analysis is also relevant, accurate and in-depth. The candidate skilfully uses analysis throughout to support and develop knowledge and evaluation points. The candidate consistently makes judgements on arguments and counter-arguments, which are relevant and in-depth. **(15 marks)** Clear conclusions are woven throughout the dissertation, and there is a detailed, well-supported conclusion at the end. **(12 marks)**

Overall marks **47/50**

Candidate 2

The candidate evidence has achieved the following marks for the project-dissertation.

'At the end of the day, abortion is still murder.' Evaluate religious and non-religious responses to this statement.

The candidate was awarded **50 marks** because this is an exceptional dissertation covering the key skills required to be successful. Aims are clearly explained, and the candidates achieves these. Throughout the dissertation, the knowledge and understanding is consistently relevant, accurate and in-depth, and supported by an abundance of strong research and sources, which are referenced clearly throughout. **(20 marks)** The analysis is also relevant, accurate and in-depth. The candidate skilfully uses analysis throughout to support and develop knowledge and evaluation points. **(15 marks)** The candidate consistently makes judgements on arguments and counter-arguments, which are relevant and in-depth. Clear overall judgements on the question are woven throughout the dissertation, and there is a detailed, well-supported conclusion at the end. **(15 marks)**

Overall marks **50/50**